Kieling, John, NMENV



From:robin laughlin [laughrob@gmail.com]Sent:Friday, May 07, 2010 7:21 AMTo:Kieling, John, NMENVSubject:hearings/public commentDear Mr. Kieling:

RE: February 2, 2010 revised draft Hazardous Waste Permit for Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), which will allow the Department of Energy (DOE) and LANL to handle ¼ million pounds of hazardous waste each year during the 10-year permit.

I support the NMED denial of LANL's permit applications for the open air burning of hazardous waste. LANL has been on notice for more than 21 years. If DOE/LANL needs to continue to burn hazardous waste, there are alternatives, including confined burn facilities that are designed to capture the emissions. Any permit for confined burned facilities must include limits on the amount and types of waste to be burned, as well as the frequency.

I support NMED requiring DOE/LANL to install confined burn facilities as an alternative to open burning before the permit is finalized. The permit must include limits as to the type and amount of waste and the frequency of the burns.

The permit must include requirements for NMED and DOE/LANL to provide enhanced participation as required by EPA for early, often, continuous and meaningful contact with the public about both the Compliance Order on Consent (Consent Order) and the Final LANL Permit.

As an act of Restorative Justice and in order to meet the needs of both urban and rural communities and future generations, **NMED must require DOE/LANL to establish both a physical Information Repository in the Española Valley, as well as a virtual** (electronic) Information Repository before the permit is finalized.

I object to NMED allowing DOE/LANL to continue hazardous waste operations without meeting the emergency management, planning, preparedness and response requirements. NMED must conduct a full investigation into the recommendations of the expert reports and require their implementation before the permit is finalized.

I object to NMED allowing DOE/LANL to continue hazardous waste operations without the necessary field studies and without a reliable network of seismometers. NMED must conduct a full investigation into the recommendations of these seismic reviews before the permit is finalized.

I support NMED requirements in the revised draft permit that DOE/LANL must meet all of the financial assurance requirements for each of the 24 hazardous waste



management units.

Sincerely,

Robin Laughlin

3010 Old Pecos Tr. #808, Santa Fe NM 87505