

NMED
New
Mexico
Environment
Department



Protecting the Environment, Preserving the Enchantment

Exceptional Events Demonstration 2011

Particulate Matter Exceedances in Southern New Mexico due to Natural Events



December 4, 2013

The New Mexico Environment Department's Air Quality Bureau prepared this document. It is available for review at the website located at www.nmenv.state.nm.us/aqb or in person at the addresses listed below. The Air Quality Bureau accepted public comment on this document from October 25, 2013 to December 3, 2013. For further information, please contact the department by phone, email or in writing at:

NMED AQB
Planning Section
525 Camino de los Marquez, Suite 1
Santa Fe, NM 87505
Phone: (505) 476-4300
Toll free: 1-800-224-7009

Contributing Authors:

Kerwin Singleton
Gail Cooke
Lany Weaver
Robert Spillers
Mark Jones
Cindy Hollenberg
Michael Baca

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Purpose	1
2	BACKGROUND	2
2.1	Exceptional Events Rule	2
2.2	Geography, Topography, and Climate	2
2.3	Monitoring Network and Data Collection.....	3
2.4	Doña Ana and Luna Counties’ NEAPs.....	5
2.5	Sources of Windblown Dust	7
2.6	Meteorological Conditions for High Wind Blowing Dust Days.....	7
2.7	Drought Conditions	9
2.8	2011 Wildfires.....	11
3	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: January 31, 2011	12
3.1	Summary of Event.....	12
3.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	13
3.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	13
3.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	13
3.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	14
3.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	14
3.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	15
3.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	15
3.4	Clear Causal Relationship	20
3.5	Affects Air Quality.....	25
3.6	Natural Event.....	25
3.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	26
4	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: February 8, 2011	28
4.1	Summary of Event.....	28
4.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	29
4.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	29
4.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	29
4.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	31
4.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	31
4.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	32
4.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	32

4.4	Clear Causal Relationship	40
4.5	Affects Air Quality.....	47
4.6	Natural Event.....	47
4.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	48
5	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: February 20, 2011	52
5.1	Summary of Event.....	52
5.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	53
5.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	53
5.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	53
5.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	54
5.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	54
5.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	55
5.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	55
5.4	Clear Causal Relationship	61
5.5	Affects Air Quality.....	67
5.6	Natural Event.....	67
5.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	68
6	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: February 27, 2011	70
6.1	Summary of Event.....	70
6.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	71
6.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	71
6.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	71
6.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	72
6.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	72
6.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	73
6.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	73
6.4	Clear Causal Relationship	79
6.5	Affects Air Quality.....	85
6.6	Natural Event.....	85
6.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	86
7	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: March 7, 2011	89
7.1	Summary of Event.....	89
7.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	90
7.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	90

7.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	90
7.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	92
7.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	92
7.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	93
7.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	93
7.4	Clear Causal Relationship	105
7.5	Affects Air Quality.....	113
7.6	Natural Event.....	113
7.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	114
8	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: MARCH 21, 2011	121
8.1	Summary of Event.....	121
8.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	122
8.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	122
8.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	122
8.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	123
8.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	123
8.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	124
8.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	124
8.4	Clear Causal Relationship	129
8.5	Affects Air Quality.....	136
8.6	Natural Event.....	136
8.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	137
9	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: APRIL 3, 2011	139
9.1	Summary of Event.....	139
9.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	140
9.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	140
9.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	140
9.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	142
9.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	142
9.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	143
9.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	143
9.4	Clear Causal Relationship	153
9.5	Affects Air Quality.....	161
9.6	Natural Event.....	161

9.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	162
10	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: APRIL 4, 2011	168
10.1	Summary of Event.....	168
10.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	169
10.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	169
10.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	169
10.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	171
10.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	171
10.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	172
10.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	172
10.4	Clear Causal Relationship	176
10.5	Affects Air Quality.....	181
10.6	Natural Event.....	181
10.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	182
11	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 9, 2011	183
11.1	Summary of Event.....	183
11.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	185
11.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	185
11.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	185
11.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	186
11.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	186
11.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	187
11.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	187
11.4	Clear Causal Relationship	199
11.5	Affects Air Quality.....	208
11.6	Natural Event.....	208
11.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	209
12	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 14, 2011	216
12.1	Summary of Event.....	216
12.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	217
12.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	217
12.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	217
12.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	219
12.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	219

12.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	220
12.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	220
12.4	Clear Causal Relationship	225
12.5	Affects Air Quality.....	232
12.6	Natural Event.....	232
12.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	233
13	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 18, 2011	235
13.1	Summary of Event.....	235
13.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	235
13.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	235
13.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	236
13.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	238
13.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	238
13.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	239
13.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	239
13.4	Clear Causal Relationship	242
13.5	Affects Air Quality.....	250
13.6	Natural Event.....	250
13.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	251
14	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 19, 2011	252
14.1	Summary of Event.....	252
14.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	253
14.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	253
14.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	253
14.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	255
14.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	255
14.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	256
14.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	256
14.4	Clear Causal Relationship	259
14.5	Affects Air Quality.....	264
14.6	Natural Event.....	264
14.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	265
15	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 24, 2011	266
15.1	Summary of Event.....	266

15.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	266
15.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	266
15.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	267
15.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	268
15.2.4	Controls Analysis	268
15.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	269
15.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations	269
15.4	Clear Causal Relationship	272
15.5	Affects Air Quality	278
15.6	Natural Event	278
15.7	No Exceedance but for the Event	279
16	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 26, 2011	280
16.1	Summary of Event	280
16.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	281
16.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	281
16.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	281
16.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	282
16.2.4	Controls Analysis	282
16.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	283
16.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations	283
16.4	Clear Causal Relationship	295
16.5	Affects Air Quality	302
16.6	Natural Event	302
16.7	No Exceedance but for the Event	303
17	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: April 29, 2011	310
17.1	Summary of Event	310
17.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	311
17.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	311
17.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	311
17.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	313
17.2.4	Controls Analysis	313
17.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	314
17.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations	314
17.4	Clear Causal Relationship	320

17.5	Affects Air Quality.....	326
17.6	Natural Event.....	326
17.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	327
18	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: May 9, 2011.....	330
18.1	Summary of Event.....	330
18.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	331
18.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	331
18.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	331
18.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	333
18.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	333
18.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	334
18.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	334
18.4	Clear Causal Relationship	342
18.5	Affects Air Quality.....	348
18.6	Natural Event.....	348
18.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	349
19	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: May 10, 2011.....	353
19.1	Summary of Event.....	353
19.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	354
19.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	354
19.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	354
19.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	356
19.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	356
19.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	357
19.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	357
19.4	Clear Causal Relationship	365
19.5	Affects Air Quality.....	372
19.6	Natural Event.....	372
19.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	373
20	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: May 18, 2011.....	377
20.1	Summary of Event.....	377
20.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	378
20.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	378
20.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds.....	378

20.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	380
20.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	380
20.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	381
20.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	381
20.4	Clear Causal Relationship	389
20.5	Affects Air Quality.....	396
20.6	Natural Event.....	396
20.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	397
21	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: May 24, 2011.....	401
21.1	Summary of Event.....	401
21.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	402
21.3.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	402
21.3.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	402
21.3.3	Recurrence Frequency	404
21.3.4	Controls Analysis.....	404
21.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	405
21.3.5	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	405
21.4	Clear Causal Relationship	410
21.5	Affects Air Quality.....	419
21.6	Natural Event.....	419
21.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	420
22	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: May 29, 2011.....	422
22.1	Summary of Event.....	422
22.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	423
22.3.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	423
22.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	423
22.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	425
22.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	425
22.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	426
22.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	426
22.4	Clear Causal Relationship	431
22.5	Affects Air Quality.....	438
22.6	Natural Event.....	438
22.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	439

23	HIGH WIND AND SMOKE EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: June 1, 2011	441
23.1	Summary of Event.....	441
23.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	442
23.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	442
23.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	442
23.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	444
23.2.4	Controls Analysis for High Wind Event.....	444
23.2.5	Controls Analysis for Smoke Event.....	445
23.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	445
23.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	445
23.4	Clear Causal Relationship	451
23.4.1	High Wind Event	451
23.4.2	Smoke Event	455
23.5	Affects Air Quality.....	458
23.6	Natural Event.....	458
23.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	458
24	HIGH WIND & SMOKE EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: June 4, 2011	462
24.1	Summary of Event.....	462
24.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	462
24.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	462
24.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	462
24.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	462
24.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	464
24.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	465
24.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	465
24.4	Clear Causal Relationship	468
24.5	Affects Air Quality.....	475
24.6	Natural Event.....	475
24.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	475
25	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: June 19, 2011.....	476
25.1	Summary of Event.....	476
25.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	477
25.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	477
25.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	477

25.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	479
25.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	479
25.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	480
25.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	480
25.4	Clear Causal Relationship	489
25.5	Affects Air Quality.....	499
25.6	Natural Event.....	499
25.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	499
26	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: September 7, 2011.....	505
26.1	Summary of Event.....	505
26.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	506
26.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	506
26.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	506
26.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	507
26.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	507
26.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	509
26.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	509
26.4	Clear Causal Relationship	513
26.5	Affects Air Quality.....	518
26.6	Natural Event.....	518
26.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	519
27	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: November 2, 2011.....	520
27.1	Summary of Event.....	520
27.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	521
27.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	521
27.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	521
27.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	523
27.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	523
27.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	524
27.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	524
27.4	Clear Causal Relationship	527
27.5	Affects Air Quality.....	531
27.6	Natural Event.....	531
27.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	532

28	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: November 5, 2011	533
28.1	Summary of Event.....	533
28.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	534
28.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	534
28.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	534
28.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	535
28.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	535
28.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	536
28.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	536
28.4	Clear Causal Relationship	542
28.5	Affects Air Quality.....	547
28.6	Natural Event.....	547
28.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	548
29	HIGH WIND EXCEPTIONAL EVENT: December 1, 2011	551
29.1	Summary of Event.....	551
29.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	552
29.2.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	552
29.2.2	Sustained and Instantaneous Wind Speeds	552
29.2.3	Recurrence Frequency	553
29.2.4	Controls Analysis.....	553
29.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	554
29.3.1	Annual and Seasonal 24-hour Average Fluctuations.....	554
29.4	Clear Causal Relationship	563
29.5	Affects Air Quality.....	568
29.6	Natural Event.....	569
29.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	569
30	SMOKE EXCEPTIONAL EVENTS: April 5 – July 11, 2011	574
30.1	Summary of Events	574
30.2	Is Not Reasonably Controllable or Preventable	591
30.1.1	Suspected Source Areas and Categories Contributing to the Event	591
30.1.2	Recurrence Frequency	608
30.1.3	Controls Analysis.....	610
30.3	Historical Fluctuations Analysis	610
30.4	Clear Causal Relationship	611

30.5	Affects Air Quality.....	645
30.6	Natural Events	645
30.7	No Exceedance but for the Event.....	645
31	REFERENCES.....	647
	Appendices A-F.....	649

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

The U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Particulate Matter (PM) with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM_{10}) and 2.5 microns or less ($PM_{2.5}$). In 2011, the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Air Quality Bureau (AQB) recorded 88 high wind blowing dust exceedances on 26 days (Table 1-1) of the PM_{10} NAAQS. The PM_{10} NAAQS is set at a 24-hour average of $150 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ measured from midnight to midnight, not to be exceeded more than one day per year based on a three year rolling average. Due to rounding conventions in the rule, an exceedance must have a 24-hour average concentration of $155 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ or greater. The AQB recorded 3 exceedances of the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS and 22 exceedances (includes 24-hour exceedances) of the annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS on the same days in which PM_{10} exceedances were recorded. The 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS is set at $35 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ measured from midnight to midnight while the annual standard is set at $12 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

The evidence presented in this document substantiates the AQB's request to exclude exceedance data from the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS attainment determinations for Doña Ana and Luna Counties in southern New Mexico. Exceedances of the PM_{10} standard were recorded at all eight monitoring sites operated in Doña Ana and Luna Counties using the Federal Equivalent Method (FEM) Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM) continuous instruments. Exceedances of the $PM_{2.5}$ standard were recorded at one of two monitoring sites in Doña Ana County using the Federal Reference Method (FRM) Partisol instrument. Table 1-1 lists the dates, monitoring sites and 24-hour averages of the exceedances requested for exclusion when the EPA makes the determination of whether or not Doña Ana and Luna Counties meet the PM NAAQS. The elevated levels of PM recorded on the dates highlighted in green (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour average standards and $PM_{2.5}$ annual standard) and light blue ($PM_{2.5}$ annual standard only) below were due to natural events, more specifically, high winds entrained dust in the air and transported it to the monitoring sites.

	Anthony	Chaparral	Deming Airport	Deming	Desert View	Holman	Sunland Park	Sunland Park	West Mesa
DATE	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀
1/31/2011	157	118	44	ND	66	52	177	12.6	30
2/8/2011	253 (138 W)	181	150	58 (52CL)	223	71	422 (176 W)	47.7	72
2/20/2011	250	139	170	50 (46 CL)	86	80	148	12.8	50
2/27/2011	466	382	47	ND	145	119	389	35	145
3/7/2011	462	410	714	ND	348	467	626	61	160
3/21/2011	175	120	144	ND	128	135	182	25	85
4/3/2011	273 (151 W)	295	252	94 (88 CL)	192	175	490 (191 W)	74.5	134
4/4/2011	137	244	33	ND	100	30	100	37	38
4/9/2011	353 (153 W)	298	499	248 W (255 CL)	252	422	368 (157 W)	50.7	231
4/14/2011	160	99	88	ND	75	54	269	38.2	60
4/18/2011	81	52	43	ND	52	54	186	22.5	32
4/19/2011	57	40	34	ND	29	34	167	21.9	26
4/24/2011	91	54	54	ND	59	69	205	27.6	31
4/26/2011	492	890	472	145 (142 CL)	254	459	877	ND	422
4/29/2011	174	176	96	ND	102	97	308	46	60
5/9/2011	269 (140 W)	233	263	60	130	135	337 (134 W)	39.5	58
5/10/2011	160	190	94	ND	144	163	210	28.1	98
5/18/2011	233	216	134	ND	157	62	229	29.7	38
5/24/2011	149	94	113	ND	46	174	209	32.9	88
5/29/2011	208	101	103	ND	131	58	206	36.2	36
6/1/2011	124	94	203	ND	ND	184	155	33.1	83
6/4/2011	96	93	61	ND	ND	65	87	15.1	57
6/19/2011	200	135	184	ND	198	211	267	54.3	110
9/7/2011	119	165	41	ND	ND	112	91	12.3	33
11/2/2011	154	180	22	ND	140	52	136	21.9	39
11/5/2011	181 (94 W)	429	72	31 (33 CL)	121	87	195 (91 W)	19.1	120
12/1/2011	ND	171	197	ND	326	ND	261	21.5	156

Table 1-1. 24-hour average concentrations for high wind blowing dust and smoke exceedances.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Exceptional Events Rule

On March 22, 2007, the EPA adopted its final rule for state and local air quality management agencies regarding the review and handling of certain air quality monitoring data (72 FR 13560). The regulation, “Treatment of Data Influenced by Exceptional Events”, or more commonly called the Exceptional Events Rule (EER), became effective on May 22, 2007 (40 CFR Part 50.14). The EER allows the EPA to exclude data affected by an exceptional event that caused an exceedance of a NAAQS when determining an area's ability to meet the standard for a given criteria pollutant. The rule does not include specific requirements concerning the type or level of evidence an agency must provide due to the wide range of events and circumstances covered under the rule. Hence, EPA determines data exclusion on a case-by-case basis after considering the weight of evidence provided in a demonstration. The procedural requirements of the EER are:

1. flagging of data in EPA’s Air Quality System (AQS) database by air quality management agencies,
2. submission of demonstrations proving an exceptional event caused an exceedance within three years of the calendar quarter in which it was recorded, and
3. EPA placing a concurrence flag in AQS for those dates that are exceptional events.

In order for EPA to concur on a demonstration and exclude data under the EER, an agency must meet six technical elements. These elements include:

1. whether the event in question was not reasonably controllable or preventable (nRCP),
2. whether there was a clear causal relationship (CCR),
3. whether there would have been no exceedance or violation but for the event in question (NEBF),
4. whether the event affects air quality (AAQ),
5. whether the event was caused by human activity unlikely to reoccur or it was a natural event (HAURL/Natural Event), and
6. whether the event was in excess of normal historical fluctuations (HF).

NMED concludes that the exceedances listed in Table 1-1 are natural events caused by high winds that entrain and transport dust from erodible areas to the monitoring sites. This report demonstrates that NMED met the procedural and technical requirements for excluding data due to exceptional events in Doña Ana and Luna Counties for calendar year 2008.

2.2 Geography, Topography, and Climate

The Rio Grande River runs through the 3,804 square miles comprising Doña Ana County, extending from the northwest corner to the south-central border where Sunland Park, New Mexico, El Paso, Texas and Ciudad Juárez, Mexico come together. The Rio Grande River forms the heavily agricultural Rincon (northern) and Mesilla (southern) Valleys in Doña Ana County

continuing southeastward through the El Paso and Juarez Valleys along the entire length of the United States-Mexico border, eventually discharging into the Gulf of Mexico.

The area within and surrounding Doña Ana County is topographically diverse and includes mountain ranges, hills, valleys and deserts. The elevation range for the county is 3,730 feet at the valley floor in the south to 9,012 feet at the peak of the Organ Mountains. The Organ Mountains lay in a north-south direction along the eastern border of the county, separating the Mesilla Valley from White Sands Missile Range (WSMR) and White Sands National Monument. The western half of Doña Ana County is formed by an elevated desert plateau (West Mesa) that extends west through Luna, Grant, and Hidalgo Counties along the international border and into Arizona.

Where New Mexico, Texas and Mexico meet, Mount Cristo Rey lays south of Sunland Park between the Franklin Mountains on the east and the Sierra Juarez Mountains to the southwest. Previous air quality studies in the air shed indicate that this complex topography dictates wind flow patterns carrying air masses from El Paso and Ciudad Juarez into Sunland Park.

Luna County is 2,965 square miles in southwestern New Mexico sharing 54 miles of international border with Mexico. Luna County is within the northern most part of the Chihuahuan Desert, with desert landscape as its most predominant feature. Several mountain ranges are located within the county including: Cooke's Range, the Florida Mountains and the Tres Hermanas Mountains.

Doña Ana County has a mild, semiarid climate with light precipitation, abundant sunshine, low relative humidity, and a large daily and annual temperature range. Annual precipitation averages 9.35 inches with 3.7 inches of snowfall in Las Cruces to 8.71 inches and 5.9 inches of snowfall near El Paso (WRCC, 2011). Windstorms are common during the late winter and spring months. Due to these high velocity winds, Luna and Doña Ana Counties experience the majority of PM₁₀ exceedances in the state. Synoptic scale weather activity and to a lesser extent, mesoscale weather systems drive most of the frequent high wind events in the region (Novlan et al., 2007). These periods of high wind may exceed average hourly wind speeds of 30 miles per hour (mph) for several hours and reach peak speeds of 60 mph or more (Aaboe et al., 1998-2007). Blowing dust and soil erosion originate from the numerous exposed and susceptible desert areas. Winds predominately blow from the southeast in summer, from the west in winter, and from the west-southwest in spring. However, local surface wind directions vary greatly because of local topography and mountain and valley breezes.

2.3 Monitoring Network and Data Collection

The AQB operates a State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS) network to measure the concentration of criteria pollutants (Table 2-1). The Bureau maintains six PM₁₀ monitoring sites in Doña Ana County and two in Luna County to track windblown dust in southern New Mexico. All monitoring sites in Doña Ana County and the Deming Airport site are equipped with continuous FEM TEOM instruments while the Deming Post Office, Anthony and Sunland Park City Yards (SPCY) sites have filter-based FRM Hi-Volume Wedding Monitors. The AQB also operates two PM_{2.5} FRM Partisol and four PM_{2.5} TEOM monitors in Doña Ana County. The

PM_{2.5} TEOM instruments do not meet the specifications for FRM or FEM designation by EPA and are not part of the SLAMS network. The data from these machines are for informational purposes only and EPA does not use it to compare air quality to the NAAQS (Table 2-2).

The monitoring network in Doña Ana County comprises the Las Cruces (northern) and Paso del Norte (southern) area. The West Mesa and Holman monitoring sites are in the City of Las Cruces, with the rest of the monitoring sites situated along the borders with Texas and Mexico in the south (Figure 2-1). The FEM TEOM and FRM Wedding monitors are collocated at the Anthony and SPCY sites.

Site Name	AIRS Number	Latitude (d-m-s)	Longitude (d-m-s)
6ZL Holman	35-013-0019	32-25-29.69	106-40-26.62
6ZK Chaparral	35-013-0020	32-02-27.48	106-24-33.09
6CM Anthony	35-013-0016	32-00-11.54	106-35-57.67
6ZG SPCY	35-013-0017	31-47-49.91	106-33-24.17
6ZM Desert View	35-013-0021	31-47-46.32	106-35-02.13
6WM West Mesa	35-013-0024	32-16-39.9	106-51-49.68
7E Deming Airport	35-029-0003	32-15-20.99	107-43-21.58
7D Deming Post Office	35-036-0001	32-16-7.86	107-45-29.32
6Q Las Cruces	35-013-0025	32-19-13.8	106-46-13.08

Table 2-1. SLAMS designated PM monitoring sites in southern New Mexico.

Site Name	AIRS Number	Latitude (d-m-s)	Longitude (d-m-s)
6CM Anthony	35-013-0016	32-00-11.54	106-35-57.67
6ZG SPCY	35-013-0017	31-47-49.91	106-33-24.17
6ZM Desert View	35-013-0021	31-47-46.32	106-35-02.13
6ZN Santa Teresa	35-013-0022	31-47-17.16	106-40-57.25

Table 2-2. Informational PM_{2.5} monitoring sites in southern New Mexico.

Monitoring data is quality controlled and quality assured within the department and submitted to AQS by the end of the following quarter in which it is collected. The AQB places flags on exceedances of the NAAQS and investigates the cause of the monitored concentration to determine if it qualifies as an exceptional event. If EPA concurs with a state's flag and subsequent demonstration of an exceptional event, it excludes that monitoring data when determining attainment of the NAAQS for a given pollutant.




Figure 2-1. Map of New Mexico's monitoring sites. La Union does not have a particulate matter monitor and is used for meteorology.

2.4 Doña Ana and Luna Counties' NEAPs

Since 1977, EPA has recognized the need to review and handle air quality data for which the normal planning and regulatory processes are not appropriate (72 FR 13562). Prior to the implementation of the EER, EPA policy and guidance dictated the handling of data affected by an exceptional event. The policy most pertinent to New Mexico was outlined in the May 30, 1996 Natural Events Policy (NEP). This policy addressed exceedances of the PM₁₀ NAAQS caused by natural events such as high winds and wildfires.

Similar to the EER, the NEP allowed the exclusion of ambient air quality monitoring data affected by natural events from attainment determinations, if certain requirements were met. The AQB managed its air quality monitoring data under this policy until the implementation of the EER (1996-2007). Many of the provisions of the NEP are included in the EER.

The NEP set procedures for the development of a Natural Events Action Plan (NEAP) to protect public health in areas where uncontrollable natural events caused a violation of the PM₁₀ NAAQS. The AQB developed the Luna and Doña Ana County NEAPs based on the following five major elements:

- 1) protect public health;
- 2) public education and awareness;
- 3) documentation and analysis of exceedances;
- 4) use of Best Available Control Measures (BACM); and
- 5) five-year review and evaluation of plan.

EPA approved the NEAPs for Doña Ana and Luna Counties in 2000 and 2003 respectively. Under the NEAPs, the AQB provided documentation and analysis to EPA for exceedances of the PM₁₀ NAAQS caused by high wind dust events from 1996-2007. In order for EPA to exclude these exceedances from consideration when determining nonattainment designations, the AQB's documentation had to demonstrate a clear causal relationship (CCR) between the measured exceedance and the natural event and that there would have been no exceedance but for the event (NEBF).

Another important element of the NEAPs required the identification of significant anthropogenic sources of dust and application of Best Available Control Measures (BACM) for these sources. BACM are control methods used to reduce or eliminate windblown dust in areas where natural soils have been disturbed and are prone to wind erosion. To determine what constitutes BACM for a particular community and source, a number of factors are considered. These factors include the sources of anthropogenic dust, when these sources are present, the available measures to control dust emissions, and the cost of these measures compared to their effectiveness to control dust. Due to the varied landscape and activities in the two counties, BACM for PM₁₀ were determined on a case-by-case basis considering technological and economic feasibility of implementing each mitigation technique. The largest emission sources include the natural desert terrain, paved and unpaved roads, agriculture, and construction.

Under the Doña Ana County NEAP, the local governments developed wind erosion control ordinances based on BACM in 2000. Luna County and the City of Deming have had their ordinances in place since 2004. Through the efforts of developing the NEAPs, the state and large land managers (New Mexico State University, WSMR, Ft. Bliss, etc.) signed Memorandums of Agreement (MOAs) or Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs). The ordinances and MOUs adopted by each jurisdiction focused on the controllable anthropogenic sources identified in each BACM analysis. New Mexico did not adopt Luna and Doña Ana Counties' NEAPs under its State Implementation Plan; therefore, the AQB does not have the authority to require or enforce BACM in these counties.

After working with concerned citizens and the Las Cruces City Council, an ad hoc committee was formed to evaluate the City's dust control ordinance and make recommendations to improve the implementation of dust control measures. The ad hoc committee formed with a variety of stakeholders and included concerned citizens, the regulated community, academics, the City of Las Cruces and NMED. Three subcommittees were formed to focus on the issues of permitting/planning, mitigation and compliance, and enforcement. The results of the committee were presented to the City Council in the spring of 2011. A revised ordinance was then drafted based on the recommendations of the committee and the City Council adopted the revisions in the fall of 2011.

For documentation and analysis under the NEAPs, NMED considered the occurrence of peak wind gusts greater than 18 meters per second (~40 miles per hour) to be sufficient evidence, by itself, that an exceedance was caused by high wind and was not reasonably controllable. The AQB's analysis of data for the 101 high wind exceedances that occurred during the years 1999 and 2000 determined this wind gust criterion (Aaboe et al., 1998-2007). For days when an exceedance occurred at a monitoring site that did not have 18 m/s wind gusts, NMED created

time series plots of wind data and hourly PM₁₀ concentrations to demonstrate that a natural event occurred and resulted in an exceedance. Along with these time series plots, NMED provided news reports, pictures, satellite images, and data from other jurisdictions (TCEQ-EI Paso) that monitored exceedances on the same day that were being used as supporting evidence of a natural event (Aaboe et al., 1998-2007).

For more information, copies of the Doña Ana and Luna County NEAPs as well as documentation and analysis for past natural events resulting in PM exceedances are posted on our website at www.nmenv.state.nm.us/aqb. Alternatively, requests for hard copies may be made to the AQB in Santa Fe.

2.5 Sources of Windblown Dust

Many features of the Chihuahuan Desert contribute to the soil’s susceptibility to erosion including: aridity, sparse vegetative cover, low soil moisture and large areas of exposed and fragile soil. The largest sources of blowing dust are playas (dry lakebeds) and disturbed desert located in southeastern Arizona, southern New Mexico, west Texas and northern Mexico. In Doña Ana County, windblown dust from desert land is by far the most prominent source of PM₁₀ accounting for nearly 85% of emissions (Table 2-3). No emissions inventory exists for Luna County.

Area and Mobile Sources	PM ₁₀ Emissions (Tons/year)	PM _{2.5} Emissions (Tons/year)
Wind Erosion	49,242.5	10,833.3
Unpaved Roads	6,166.9	922.5
Paved Roads	1,119.9	153.3
Agriculture	470.7	142.6
Construction	294.2	61.2
Quarrying and Mining	159.2	31.8
Total	58,141.7	12,759.4

Table 2-3. Emission data collected from the 2004 area and mobile emission inventory for Doña Ana County (EPA’s ATLAS Project).

2.6 Meteorological Conditions for High Wind Blowing Dust Days

There are three weather systems, which create windstorms capable of producing windblown dust in New Mexico (Comet, 2010; Novlan et al., 2007). Large scale or synoptic weather systems account for two of these conditions. These weather systems often affect entire states and can be large enough to cover multiple states. The other meteorological condition, a small or mesoscale weather system, creates outflow boundaries from thunderstorms. The first and most common weather system creating windblown dust is synoptic scale Pacific cold fronts that frequently pass through New Mexico during the fall, winter and spring (Figure 2-2). Surface winds flow from a west to southwest direction during these conditions. Winds flow perpendicular to the isobars from high to low pressure on the map in Figure 2-2 (red squiggly lines). The next most common cause of high wind blowing dust episodes is synoptic scale cold fronts from the north or east, also known as backdoor cold fronts. The last and least frequent cause of windblown dust events are mesoscale storms caused by thunderstorm outflow fronts and dry or wet microbursts. These

storms, known as haboobs, occur during the monsoon season in the summer months when southern New Mexico receives the majority of its annual precipitation.




Figure 2-2. Surface weather map depicting a Pacific cold front.

The optimal meteorological conditions for high wind blowing dust days occur when an upper level trough of low pressure and a Pacific cold front pass through the region at the same time on days with high velocity winds aloft and at the surface, minimal cloud cover, low relative humidity, and maximum temperature (Novlan et al, 2007). As the surface pressure and density gradient begins to form due to the upper level trough and surface cold front passage, daytime heating of the surface creates a mixing layer that allows for entrainment of dust as well as downward mixing of strong winds aloft, further enhancing wind speeds at the surface. If the surface winds cross the vast sources of dust in the area with the correct angle and speed, a high potential for entraining and transporting dust occurs. There are many variations of this scenario and weather conditions that may cause high wind and blowing dust at different intensities.

Figure 2-3 depicts the upper air patterns associated with the Pacific cold front from Figure 2-2. This map is for the 500 hour on April 10 and shows that the cold front has passed toward north central Texas. Due to the lack of friction in the atmosphere, winds flow parallel to the isobars on an upper air map (brown lines).




Figure 2-3. Upper air weather map for winds at the 500 millibar height (approximately 5-6 km above ground level).

2.7 Drought Conditions

The U.S. Drought Monitor is produced in partnership between the National Drought Mitigation Center at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL), the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The Drought Monitor uses multiple indices and impacts to try and describe drought conditions in a number of circumstances and represents a consensus of federal and academic scientists.

According to the Drought Monitor, New Mexico experienced drought conditions throughout 2011 with the southern one third of the state starting off the year classified as moderate. Conditions consistently worsened throughout the year with the entire border region classified as severe when the first high wind blowing dust event occurred (Figure 2-4). The normal monsoon moisture that begins in June brought little relief to the area as conditions worsened until they reached a peak in August when conditions were classified as exceptional, the highest classification of drought. Nearly 80 percent of the entire state was classified in the top two categories of extreme and exceptional (Figure 2-5).

The lack of moisture provided the conditions for large areas of desert to be more prone to wind erosion and blowing dust than in the two previous years. Small plants and shrubs that normally act to inhibit sand movement and eventual entrainment were unable to grow without moisture. Top soil also lacked the natural crust that forms when precipitation falls and evaporates, binding nutrients together to resist the forces of wind that causes erosion. These factors together enhanced the area's susceptibility to wind erosion accounting for the higher than normal exceedances at the monitoring sites.

U.S. Drought Monitor


New Mexico

February 1, 2011
Valid 7 a.m. EST

	Drought Conditions (Percent Area)					
	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	5.30	94.70	50.59	22.89	0.00	0.00
Last Week (01/25/2011 map)	5.30	94.70	50.59	11.43	0.00	0.00
3 Months Ago (11/02/2010 map)	76.52	23.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Start of Calendar Year (12/28/2010 map)	6.16	93.84	40.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
Start of Water Year (09/28/2010 map)	76.66	23.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
One Year Ago (01/26/2010 map)	36.51	63.49	3.07	0.00	0.00	0.00

Intensity:

- D0 Abnormally Dry
- D1 Drought - Moderate
- D2 Drought - Severe
- D3 Drought - Extreme
- D4 Drought - Exceptional



The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements.



Released Thursday, February 3, 2011
R. Heim/L. Love-Brotak, NCDC/NOAA

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>

Figure 2-4. Drought conditions in New Mexico as of February 1, 2011. Map courtesy of NDMC-UNL.

U.S. Drought Monitor


New Mexico

August 2, 2011
Valid 7 a.m. EST

	Drought Conditions (Percent Area)					
	None	D0-D4	D1-D4	D2-D4	D3-D4	D4
Current	0.00	100.00	100.00	93.24	79.05	47.47
Last Week (07/26/2011 map)	0.00	100.00	100.00	93.24	79.34	47.76
3 Months Ago (05/03/2011 map)	0.00	100.00	96.54	87.36	61.02	13.63
Start of Calendar Year (12/28/2010 map)	6.16	93.84	40.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
Start of Water Year (09/28/2010 map)	76.66	23.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
One Year Ago (07/27/2010 map)	65.81	34.19	12.10	0.00	0.00	0.00

Intensity:

- D0 Abnormally Dry
- D1 Drought - Moderate
- D2 Drought - Severe
- D3 Drought - Extreme
- D4 Drought - Exceptional



The Drought Monitor focuses on broad-scale conditions. Local conditions may vary. See accompanying text summary for forecast statements.



Released Thursday, August 4, 2011
Brad Rippey, U.S. Department of Agriculture

<http://drought.unl.edu/dm>

Figure 2-5. Drought conditions in New Mexico as of August 2, 2011. Map courtesy of NDMC-UNL.

2.8 2011 Wildfires

2011 was a very active fire season in Arizona, New Mexico and northern Mexico. In Arizona there were 5 wildfires over 10,000 acres and another 62 fires over 100 acres but smaller than 10,000 acres. In New Mexico, there were 24 wildfires over 10,000 acres and another 132 fires over 100 acres but smaller than 10,000 acres. Arizona's total burn area was 1,011,023 acres, while New Mexico's burn area was 1,127,776 acres (SWCC, 2013). Detailed information on the size and number of wildfires in northern Mexico are unavailable.



Figure 2-6. Major wildfires in Arizona and New Mexico in 2011, as documented by the Southwest Coordination Center.

Two major wildfires in the U.S. had direct and continual impacts on the borderland in 2011 contributing to elevated levels of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. The Wallow fire started in the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest in southeastern Arizona on May 29, 2011. The fire burned until July 8, 2011 becoming the largest fire in Arizona history, burning approximately 841 square miles (538,049 acres) in Arizona and 25 square miles in New Mexico. The Horseshoe 2 fire, approximately 100 miles south of the Wallow fire, burned 222,954 acres during the same period of time (May 8 to July 20, 2011) increasing the total smoke impacts on monitors within New Mexico.

Average PM_{10} emissions may be estimated to range from 0.0105 tons/acre burned to .246 tons/acre burned, depending on the fuel type. The range for $PM_{2.5}$ is from .00603 to .151 tons/acre burned, again depending on type of fuel (based on data from Smoke Management 2003). As Figure 2-6 shows, fire season may have contributed to many PM exceedances. This information should be kept in mind during the various demonstrations.