



EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
www.eaest.com

February 27, 2026

Ms. Amanda Otieno  
New Mexico Environment Department  
Ground Water Quality Bureau  
Remediation Oversight Section  
2301 Entrada Del Sol  
Las Cruces, NM 88001

Dear Ms. Otieno:

On behalf of Doña Ana Dairies, Inc., EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC is submitting this Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report for the dairies located in Mesquite, Vado, and Anthony, New Mexico. The report includes the Del Oro Dairy pump and reuse system performance assessment and the quarterly groundwater sampling event conducted to fulfill requirements of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan for Doña Ana Dairies.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the information provided in this report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Gina Mullen".

Gina Mullen  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jay Snyder, P.E.".

Jay Snyder, P.E.  
Senior Hydrogeologist

Enclosure

Cc: Linda Armstrong, Doña Ana Dairies (electronic)  
File





---

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
www.eaest.com

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER  
MONITORING REPORT  
DOÑA ANA DAIRIES  
MESQUITE, NEW MEXICO

Prepared for:

Doña Ana Dairies  
Mesquite, New Mexico

Prepared by:

EA Engineering, Science,  
and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

February 2026

EA Project No. 14641-16-00.02  
14641-15-00.02



QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER  
MONITORING REPORT  
DOÑA ANA DAIRIES  
MESQUITE, NEW MEXICO

Prepared for:

Doña Ana Dairies  
Mesquite, New Mexico

Prepared by:

EA Engineering, Science,  
and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico




---

Gina Mullen  
Project Manager

February 27, 2026

Date



---

Jay Snyder, P.E.  
Senior Hydrogeologist

February 27, 2026

Date



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Objective and Monitoring Scope .....	1
1.2 Background .....	1
2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES .....	2
2.1 Monitoring Well Gauging .....	4
2.2 Groundwater Sampling .....	4
3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS .....	5
3.1 Hydraulic Gradient and Direction of Groundwater Flow .....	5
3.2 Groundwater Field Parameters .....	5
3.3 Groundwater Analytical Results .....	6
3.3.1 Abatement Plan Monitoring Well Analytical Results .....	6
3.3.2 Abatement Plan and Discharge Plan Analytical Results by Area .....	7
4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	10
5.0 REFERENCES .....	11

## **LIST OF TABLES**

(All Tables Provided Electronically via CD)

Table 1	Summary of Monitoring Well Fluid Gauging Data
Table 2	Summary of Monitoring Well Groundwater Field Parameters
Table 3	Summary of Sample Analytical Methods and Sampling Requirements
Table 4	Abatement Plan Monitoring Well Groundwater Analytical Results
Table 5	Discharge Plan Monitoring Well Groundwater Analytical Results

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Potentiometric Surface Map, November 2025, Northern Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 3	Potentiometric Surface Map, November 2025, Central Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 4	Potentiometric Surface Map, November 2025, Southern Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 5	Potentiometric Surface Map, November 2025, Southern Portion, Perched Aquifer
Figure 6	Groundwater Analytical Results, November/December 2025, Northern Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 7	Groundwater Analytical Results, November/December 2025, Central Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 8	Groundwater Analytical Results, December 2025, Southern Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 9	Groundwater Analytical Results, December 2025, Southern Portion, Perched Aquifer

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Del Oro Dairy Pump and Reuse System Performance Assessment
Appendix B	Gauging and Sampling Field Forms (Provided Electronically via CD)
Appendix C	Analytical Laboratory Reports (Provided Electronically via CD)
Appendix D	Hydrographs by Area
Appendix E	Field Parameter Trends by Area
Appendix F	Concentration Trends by Area – Abatement Plan Wells

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of Doña Ana Dairies (Dairies), EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC (EA) has prepared this Quarterly Monitoring Report for Doña Ana Dairies located south of Las Cruces, New Mexico (Figure 1). The report was completed in accordance with the *Stage 2 Abatement Plan* and the *Sampling and Analysis Plan, Doña Ana Dairies, Doña Ana County, New Mexico* dated November 7, 2013, and August 11, 2008, respectively, and the *Conceptual Work Plan (CWP)* dated February 1, 2008. All were prepared to satisfy requirements stated in the New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 2, Sections 4106 through 4110 (20.6.2.4106 – 20.6.2.4110 NMAC). The Sampling and Analysis Plan was approved by the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Ground Water Quality Bureau (GWQB) on September 25, 2008 (NMED 2008). On March 25, 2015, the stipulated agreement to additional requirements to the Dona Ana Dairies Stage 2 Abatement Plan was agreed upon by NMED, the Dairies, and the Rio Valle Concerned Citizens (NMED, Doña Ana Dairies, and Rio Valle Concerned Citizens 2015). The Stage 2 Abatement Plan was approved by NMED by Final Order on April 10, 2015. A Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification was approved by NMED on April 26, 2022 (NMED 2022). Full document references are provided in Section 5.0.

### 1.1 Objective and Monitoring Scope

The objectives of this monitoring program are to satisfy the requirements set forth in the Stage 2 Abatement Plan and the Stipulated Agreement and to satisfy the requirements set forth in 20.6.2.4110 NMAC.

The following work was performed to meet the objectives of the monitoring program:

- Representatives from D&H Petroleum and Environmental Services, Inc. (D&H) gauged discharge plan (DP) monitoring wells, abatement plan (AP) monitoring wells, and Anthony Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) wells from November 11, 2025 through November 13, 2025.
- From November 14, 2025 through December 12, 2025, D&H representatives collected groundwater samples from all scheduled and sampleable AP and DP wells. Field parameters including specific conductance, pH, temperature, oxidation reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved oxygen were monitored and recorded on field forms during sampling.

Additionally, performance assessment was performed on the Del Oro pump and reuse system in compliance with the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan for Dona Ana Dairies (EA 2022). The performance assessment is provided in Appendix A.

### 1.2 Background

In correspondence dated April 7, 2006, NMED required a Stage 1 Abatement Plan for 13 dairies in Doña Ana County, based on analytical results from DP monitoring of on-site compliance monitoring wells that showed concentrations of nitrate, chloride, and TDS exceeding ground

water standards promulgated in New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) Regulations (20.6.2.3103 NMAC). The 13 dairies that were part of the original consortium are listed below, with those no longer involved in the consortium marked with a strike-through.

- |   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| 1. <del>Organ Dairy (Former Daybreak and Del Norte Dairy)</del> | 7. <del>Gonzales Dairy</del>       |
| 2. Mountain View Dairy  | 8. <del>Buena Vista Dairy II</del> |
| 3. <del>Buena Vista I Dairy</del>                               | 9. <del>River Valley Dairy</del>   |
| 4. Bright Star Dairy  | 10. Big Sky Dairy                  |
| 5. Dominguez 2 (Former D&J Dairy)                               | 11. Sunset Dairy                   |
| 6. Dominguez Dairy  | 12. Desert Land Dairy              |
|   | 13. Del Oro Dairy                  |

On October 30, 2006, the 13 original dairies notified NMED that they had reached an agreement to work as a group and submit a joint response to NMED's request (Doña Ana Dairies 2006). Currently, the Doña Ana Dairies (DAD) consortium consists of 8 dairies with the departure from the group by Buena Vista I Dairy in 2011, River Valley Dairy in April 2019, and Gonzalez Dairy in October 2020. Buena Vista Dairy II left the consortium in May 2024. Organ Dairy left the consortium in February 2025.

The current DAD consortium is organized geographically into the northern area, central area and southern area. The northern area currently consists of Mountain View Dairy, Bright Star Dairy, Dominguez Dairy, and the former Dominguez 2 Dairy. The northern land application is also included in the northern area of DAD. Buena Vista Dairy, Gonzalez Dairy, and Organ Dairy, though no longer members of the DAD consortium, are located within the northern area. The central area consists of Buena Vista Dairy II, Big Sky Dairy, and Sunset Dairy/Desert Land Dairy. Though no longer a member of the DAD consortium, River Valley Dairy is also located in the central area. The southern area includes only the Del Oro Dairy.

On December 11, 2006, on behalf of the Doña Ana Dairies, Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) submitted a Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plan Proposal to address impacts to groundwater in the area containing the Dairies (Golder 2006)

The first major deliverable in the Abatement Plan Proposal was an Existing Data Report (EDR), created to combine all existing and historical data and practices of the constituent dairies. The EDR, submitted on February 1, 2008 (Golder 2008a), was intended to satisfy the DAD consortiums' commitment for compilation and submission of existing data identified in the Doña Ana Dairies response (Golder 2006) to the NMED requirement for Stage 1 Abatement Plans. Section 9 of the EDR outlined data gaps identified during the preparation of the report, as well as the actions recommended. To facilitate the discussion of the path forward after the submittal of the EDR and concurrent with the EDR submission, a conceptual work plan (CWP) was prepared (Golder 2008b).

A meeting was held on July 15, 2008, between the DAD consortium, Golder and NMED. During that meeting, plume maps presented in the EDR (Golder 2008a), new monitoring data, and knowledge of monitoring well locations and groundwater chemistry results at adjacent DP-

regulated facilities were used to identify data gaps with respect to ground water flow direction and plume delineation. The agreed upon data gaps yielded monitoring well locations (including contingency monitoring well locations) recorded in the meeting minutes (Golder 2008c) and depicted in the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) dated August 8, 2008 (Golder 2008d). The SAP outlined the details of the field operations to be implemented for completion of data gaps, such that a Site Investigation Report (§4106.C.6 NMAC) and Stage 2 Abatement Plan (§4106.D NMAC) could be prepared.

Between February 2008 and December 2008, quarterly groundwater gauging was conducted concurrent to discussions with NMED at the DAD consortium to determine the current and historical site groundwater gradient.

In May 2009, field work was conducted as outlined in the SAP and ten AP monitoring wells (DAD-01 through DAD-10) were installed. In July 2009, the Site Investigation Report was submitted to the NMED (EA 2009).

On February 9, 2012, the Final Site Investigation Report was submitted to NMED (EA 2012a). The report summarized field activities that occurred from October 10 through October 14, 2011, and November 10 through 18, 2011, during which eleven soil borings were advanced at the site and converted into monitoring wells DAD-12 through DAD-14, DAD-16 through DAD-22, and DP well 177-03A.

On August 16, 2012, soil boring/monitoring well DAD-15 was installed and on August 20, 2012, well DAD-15 was sampled. An addendum to the Final Site Investigation Report was submitted to NMED on September 9, 2012 (EA 2012b), which summarized DAD-15 field activities.

A Stage 2 Abatement Plan was submitted to NMED on March 13, 2013 (EA 2013a). Based on an NMED response in August 2013, a Revision to the Stage 2 Abatement Plan was submitted on November 7, 2013 (EA 2013b).

On March 25, 2015, the stipulated agreement to additional requirements to the Doña Ana Dairies Stage 2 Abatement Plan was agreed to by NMED Doña Ana Dairies, and the Rio Valle Concerned Citizens. On April 10, 2015, the Stage 2 Abatement Plan with the stipulated agreement was approved by NMED by Final Order (NMED 2015).

EA began implementation of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan and stipulated agreement as directed by the Final Order in December 2015. To meet objectives, four monitoring wells were installed (DAD-23 through DAD-26) and Del Oro Dairy discharge plan (DP) well 692-01 was plugged and abandoned. Details on implementation of these tasks are included *Stage 2 Implementation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report* dated July 2016 (EA 2016).

In accordance with the approved Stage 2 Abatement Plan and stipulated agreement, a baseline compound specific isotope analysis (CSIA) for nitrogen 14 and nitrogen 15 ( $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$  [ $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ]) and total organic carbon (TOC) was completed for 16 monitoring wells in spring of 2016. Additionally, existing conditions concentrations were recalculated for the contaminants of concern. Results of these analyses are presented in the *Stage 2 Implementation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report* dated July 2016 (EA 2016). The stipulated agreement requires that CSIA of site groundwater and recalculation of existing conditions is conducted every five

years. Five-year reviews containing CSIA results and recalculation of existing conditions were submitted to NMED in December 2020 (EA 2020a) and in January 2026 (EA 2026).

Contaminant concentration trend analysis as well as geospatial analysis to evaluate changes in plume behavior are required on an annual basis and are provided in the annual report. Additionally, the results of the annual sampling of irrigation and supply wells and concentration trends of analytes in AP and DP wells are provided in the annual report.

A Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted to NMED on August 10, 2018, to address plume instability in the perched aquifer nitrate plume at Del Oro Dairy. Following discussions with NMED, a revised Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted on May 1, 2019. A public meeting to discuss the plan was held in Anthony, New Mexico on May 17, 2019. The Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was revised based on additional input from NMED and the public and submitted on July 26, 2019 (EA 2019). Public notice for the proposal was initiated on October 23, 2019, and closed on December 31, 2019. An addendum to the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted on July 15, 2020 (EA 2020b). A revised addendum to the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted on July 13, 2021, based on additional comments from the public (EA 2021). An additional virtual townhall meeting was held on December 15, 2021, that presented the current proposal. The performance plan was submitted to NMED on February 15, 2022 (EA 2022). NMED approved the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification for Doña Ana Dairies (EA 2019), the accompanying Stage 2 Abatement Plan Addendum for Reuse of Pumped Groundwater at Del Oro Dairy (EA 2021), and the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan (EA 2022) on April 26, 2022 (NMED 2022). Implementation is detailed in the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Completion Report (EA 2023). The quarterly performance assessment of the Del Oro Dairy pump and reuse system, as required by Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan (EA 2022) is provided in Appendix A.

On September 19, 2024, NMED approved a reduction in monitoring frequency from quarterly to semi-annually for select abatement plan wells (NMED 2024). The following wells are sampled semi-annually during August/September and February/March sampling events: DAD-02, DAD-03, DAD-04, DAD-05, DAD-16, DAD-17, and DAD-24. Water levels are gauged every quarter.

## 2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES

Groundwater monitoring activities included gauging AP monitoring wells, DP monitoring wells for dairies that are a part of the DAD consortium, and the Anthony WWTP monitoring wells. Groundwater samples were collected from scheduled AP monitoring wells and DP monitoring wells for dairies that are a part of the DAD consortium (Section 1.2). Groundwater samples were analyzed for nitrate, chloride, TDS, and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN). The resulting data from this groundwater monitoring event are compiled and presented below.

### 2.1 Monitoring Well Gauging

From November 11, 2025 through November 13, 2025, representatives from D&H gauged DP monitoring wells, AP monitoring wells, and Anthony WWTP wells with an electronic water level indicator. Table 1 provides a summary of the groundwater gauging data collected from the monitoring network. Data obtained during gauging are shown on potentiometric surface maps included as Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5. Well gauging field forms are available in Appendix B.

### 2.2 Groundwater Sampling

D&H collected groundwater samples from all AP monitoring wells scheduled for sampling from from December 4, 2025, through December 12, 2025. D&H collected groundwater from DP wells from November 14, 2025, through December 2, 2025. Several DP wells could not be sampled. Bright Star well 340-02 and perched Del Oro Dairy well 692-04 were dry. Dominguez and Dominguez 2 wells were not sampled.

Prior to sampling, all wells were purged of three well casing volumes, if practicable, by (1) hand-bailing with new, disposable bailers and twine, (2) pumping with a submersible pump and new polyethylene tubing, or (3) pumping with a dedicated pump and new polyethylene tubing.

The wells were sampled from historically clean to dirty to the extent possible to minimize cross-contamination potential. All non-dedicated or disposable equipment was decontaminated between wells with an Alconox™ solution to further ensure sample quality. All meters were calibrated and/or checked with standards in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications prior to daily use. Purge water was ground-discharged.

When sufficient water was available, field parameters including specific conductance, temperature, pH, ORP, and dissolved oxygen were monitored using a water quality meter and data were recorded on field forms. Field parameters are summarized in Table 2. The sampling field forms are presented in Appendix B.

All groundwater samples were collected immediately after purging. Sampling was either accomplished by carefully pouring groundwater from the bailer into the sample containers or by pumping groundwater through new polyethylene tubing into the sample container. Sample containers were provided by Eurofins Environment Testing South Central, LLC in Albuquerque, New Mexico (Eurofins). Container size, type, sample preservatives, analytical methods, and holding times are specified in Table 3. All samples were preserved in accordance with method requirements, labeled, then immediately cooled to <6°C with ice and delivered under chain-of-custody to Eurofins. All analytical laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C.

### **3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS**

#### **3.1 Hydraulic Gradient and Direction of Groundwater Flow**

During the past quarter, groundwater was present beneath the site at depths ranging from 13.50 feet below top-of-casing (ft btoc) in Sunset well 257-03 to 97.80 ft btoc in AP well DAD-15. Groundwater was encountered at shallower depths near the Mesquite Drain and at greater depths near I-10 where the topographic elevation increases.

AP monitoring well DAD-25 may have been completed in a perched aquifer, as groundwater elevations have consistently measured several feet higher than groundwater elevations in surrounding wells. As a result, groundwater elevation data from this well is not used in contouring for the central area potentiometric surface map. Additionally, vertical delineations wells are not used for contouring.

Potentiometric surface maps of groundwater elevations were completed using monitoring well gauging data for the northern, central, and southern areas (perched and regional aquifers) of the Dairies. Groundwater elevation data are provided in Table 1 and potentiometric surface maps are provided as Figures 2 through 5. Hydrographs were completed for select monitoring wells in each area and are provided in Appendix D.

On average, water levels decreased in the northern area (0.25 foot), central area (0.23 foot), and southern area (0.46 foot) of the regional aquifer. In the southern perched aquifer, groundwater elevations decreased by an average of 0.29 feet.

During the most recent gauging event, groundwater flow direction of the northern regional aquifer varied from east-northeast to southeast. Flow direction in the central and southern portions of the regional aquifer were generally to the southeast. Groundwater flow direction in the southern perched aquifer was to the south-southwest.

The hydraulic gradient across the Dairies in the regional aquifer is 0.001 ft/ft. The hydraulic gradient in the perched aquifer in the southern area was approximately 0.006 ft/ft.

Changes in groundwater flow have been observed in the regional and perched aquifers of the southern portion. Groundwater mounding can first be observed in the perched aquifer in November 2024 and in the regional aquifer in February 2025. Groundwater gradients have been consistent in the regional aquifer but have increased in the perched aquifer from 0.004 ft/ft in November 2024 to its current gradient of 0.006 ft/ft beginning in May 2025.

#### **3.2 Groundwater Field Parameters**

Field parameters from the most recent monitoring event (specific conductance, pH, temperature, ORP, and dissolved oxygen) were recorded on the sampling field forms provided in Appendix B and are summarized in Table 2. Specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, and ORP trends for select wells are presented in Appendix E. Though dissolved oxygen and ORP measurements from wells containing a dedicated pump were recorded, these measurements are not considered representative of aquifer conditions. Dissolved oxygen and ORP are only recorded in the first set

of readings since hand bailing agitates the aquifer and the ORP and dissolved oxygen measurements are not considered representative once agitation begins.

### 3.3 Groundwater Analytical Results

AP groundwater analytical results are presented in Table 4. DP groundwater analytical results are presented in Table 5. Nitrate, chloride, and TDS concentration trends for the AP wells by area are presented in Appendix F. Analytical data for all sampled wells are plotted on Figures 6 through 9. Analytical laboratory reports are included in Appendix C. Discussions of upgradient/downgradient conditions in the following section are based on groundwater flow directions presented in Section 3.1.

#### 3.3.1 Abatement Plan Monitoring Well Analytical Results

Nitrate concentrations were below the 10 milligrams per liter (mg/L) NMWQCC standard in groundwater collected from 5 of the 20 AP monitoring wells sampled. The seven AP wells that were not sampled this quarter have been historically below the nitrate standard.

Groundwater collected from the following 15 AP wells had nitrate concentrations at or above the standard: DAD-06R, DAD-07, DAD-08, DAD-09, DAD-11 (vertical delineation well), DAD-12 (vertical delineation well), DAD-13, DAD-14, DAD-15, DAD-18 (vertical delineation well), DAD-19 (vertical delineation well), DAD-20, DAD-21, DAD-22, and DAD-23.

Nitrate concentrations decreased or were the same in groundwater collected from AP wells DAD-06R, DAD-08, DAD-11 (vertical delineation), DAD-12 (vertical delineation), DAD-15, DAD-19, DAD-20, DAD-21, DAD-22, DAD-23, and DAD-27 compared to the previous sampling event. The largest decrease in nitrate concentration was observed in southern area perched aquifer well DAD-21, which decreased from 60 mg/L in September 2025 to 42 mg/L in December 2025.

The largest nitrate concentration increase was observed in groundwater collected from the southern area perched aquifer well DAD-09. The concentration increased from 39 mg/L in September 2025 to 59 mg/L in December 2025.

During this sampling event, detected nitrate concentrations in groundwater collected from AP wells ranged from 80 mg/L in well DAD-14 to 0.86 mg/L in well DAD-10. In 2024, AP wells DAD-06R, DAD-11 (vertical delineation well), and DAD-18 (vertical delineation well) were identified as wells to observe due to increasing nitrate concentrations. Nitrate concentrations in groundwater collected from wells DAD-06R, DAD-11 (vertical delineation well), and DAD-18 (vertical delineation well) have stabilized.

Both chloride and TDS concentrations equaled or exceeded their respective NMWQCC standards in most AP wells. Exceptions include chloride and TDS groundwater concentrations with concentrations below their respective standards in central area well DAD-06R. Chloride was also detected below the standard in DAD-25. The highest chloride concentration of 1,300 mg/L was detected in groundwater collected from well DAD-08. The highest TDS concentration of 4,100 mg/L was detected in groundwater collected from well DAD-07.

Table 4 and Figures 6 through 9 present the analytical results for AP monitoring wells. Analytical laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C. Nitrate, chloride, and TDS concentration trends for select AP wells are presented by area in Appendix F.

### *3.3.2 Abatement Plan and Discharge Plan Analytical Results by Area*

The following sections discuss AP and DP analytical results in the northern portion, central portion, and the southern regional and southern perched aquifers.

#### Northern Portion

The northern extent of the plume is defined by wells 86/340-01 and 70/86/340-01. Groundwater collected from upgradient well 86/340-01 (located north of the abatement area) has been below the nitrate NMWQCC standard of 10 mg/L since February 2018; prior to that time, groundwater concentrations in this well were consistently above the nitrate standard. Northern Land Application Area well 70/86/340-01, located at the northern-most boundary of the abatement area, contained groundwater above the nitrate standard at a concentration of 22 mg/L during this sampling event. The southern extent of the plume is historically defined by AP well DAD-02, which has historically had concentrations below the standard but was not scheduled for sampling this quarter. The nearest well, DAD-23, contained a groundwater nitrate concentration of 20 mg/L. Dominguez Dairy 2 well 42-02 and Dominguez Dairy well 627-02 have historically delineated the western edge of the nitrate plume. However, these wells were not sampled this quarter. There were no wells on the west side of the site with concentrations below the nitrate standard. The nitrate plume is defined to the east by AP well DAD-01 (8.0 mg/L). Historically, Dominguez 2 wells 42-10 and 42-12 were below reporting limits; however, these wells were not sampled this quarter. AP well DAD-13 is an eastern boundary well that has been below the nitrate standard over the last year but contains a higher concentration of nitrate this quarter (24 mg/L). The highest nitrate concentration in the northern portion was observed in groundwater collected from well DAD-14 (80 mg/L); this well is located at the southern boundary of the northern abatement plan area.

The chloride and TDS concentrations in DP wells were at or above standards in all wells sampled within the northern portion of the Dairies except for chloride (92 mg/L) and TDS (1,400 mg/L) in Bright Star Dairy well 340-01. Chloride and TDS in this well are anomalously low compared to historical results. The highest concentration of chloride was observed in northern land application well 70/86/340-01 at 1,900 mg/L; the highest concentration of TDS was also detected in this well at a concentration of 5,200 mg/L.

#### Central Portion

The respective northern and southern extent of the central portion nitrate plume is defined by Buena Vista Dairy II well 74-03 and by Las Cruces Community Farms (formerly McAnally Enterprises) well MW-4, where nitrate was not detected in either well above the laboratory reporting limit of <1.0 mg/L. Historically, the eastern extent of the plume was defined by wells DAD-06R, DAD-07 and DAD-15. In the most recent sampling event, nitrate concentrations in groundwater collected from these wells exceeded the standard at concentrations of 16 mg/L, 59 mg/L and 22 mg/L, respectively. The western extent is defined by Buena Vista Dairy well 74-02 (10 mg/L), Big Sky Dairy well 833-10 (1.6 mg/L), and Sunset Dairy well 257-02 (8.6

mg/L). AP well DAD-16 was not sampled this quarter but is historically a delineating well with nitrate concentrations below standard. The highest nitrate concentration in the central portion was 80 mg/L, observed in Big Sky Dairy well 833-07.

Chloride and TDS concentrations were generally at or above standards in wells within the central portion of the Dairies. Chloride was below the standard in AP wells DAD-06R (100 mg/L) and DAD-25 (100 mg/L), Buena Vista well 74-03 (220 mg/L), and Sunset well 257-03 (55 mg/L). TDS was below the standard in AP well DAD-06R (710 mg/L) and Sunset well 257-03 (500 mg/L). Chloride and TDS concentrations at Sunset well 257-03 are anomalously low compared to historical results. The highest chloride concentrations were detected at Big Sky wells 833-02 and 833-07 at a concentration of 1,400 mg/L. The highest TDS concentration was observed at Las Cruces Community Farms well MW-4 at a concentration of 4,300 mg/L.

#### Southern Portion – Regional Aquifer

Wells completed in the regional aquifer in the southern portion of the dairies include AP well DAD-10 and Del Oro wells 692-05 through 692-10 (Figure 8). All of the groundwater collected from wells in the regional aquifer contained nitrate below the NMWQCC standard except for Del Oro wells 692-05 (19 mg/L) and 692-08 (170 mg/L). The nitrate concentration at Del Oro 692-08 is anomalously high.

Chloride concentrations were detected above the NMWQCC standard and ranged from 420 mg/L in Del Oro well 692-09 to 1,200 mg/L in Del Oro Dairy well 692-08. TDS concentrations ranged from 1,300 mg/L in AP well DAD-10 to 4,200 mg/L in Del Oro Dairy well 692-08. Chloride and TDS concentrations in Del Oro well 692-08 are anomalously high.

#### Southern Portion – Perched Aquifer

Wells completed in the perched aquifer in the southern portion include wells 692-02, 692-04 (dry), DAD-09, DAD-20, DAD-21, DAD-22, DAD-26, and DAD-27 (Figure 9). Groundwater nitrate concentrations were above the standard in all monitoring wells in the perched aquifer except for DAD-26 (4.0 mg/L), DAD-27 (4.5 mg/L), and 692-02 (5.1 mg/L) which are located downgradient (DAD wells) or on the southern border of the AP area (Del Oro well 692-02). The highest nitrate concentration was detected at AP well DAD-09 (59 mg/L). The edge of the nitrate plume is delineated to the southwest by AP well DAD-26 (4.0 mg/L).

Chloride concentrations in the perched aquifer monitoring wells ranged from 400 mg/L in Del Oro Dairy well 692-02 and AP well DAD-09 to 760 mg/L in AP well DAD-21. TDS in the perched aquifer ranged from 1,400 mg/L in Del Oro Dairy well 692-02 to 2,700 mg/L in AP well DAD-21.

There are multiple influences on analyte concentrations at Del Oro Dairy. A pump and reuse system became operational in April 2023 and is currently running. The system performance assessment is provided in Appendix A. Also influencing the area is the rebound of analyte concentrations after a suspected municipal water line leak. The suspected leak was located at the southwest corner of the Del Oro Dairy. Based on groundwater elevation and groundwater concentration data, it is likely the water line started to leak before May 2019 and may have been repaired during the winter of 2020/2021. Concentrations of analytes decreased with the

introduction of municipal water to the perched aquifer, and concentrations increased after the suspected repair. Concentrations were still increasing when the pump and reuse system became operational resulting in a lack of baseline concentrations. Additionally, there appears to be a subsurface release of water which may be currently impacting analyte concentrations.

Groundwater mounding can first be observed in the perched aquifer in November 2024 and in the regional aquifer in February 2025.

It should be noted that we are seeing a steady decline in nitrate concentrations downgradient of the groundwater extraction system at Del Oro Dairy. AP wells DAD-20, DAD-22, DAD-26, and DAD-27, and Del Oro well 692-02 show nitrate concentrations continue to decline.

Concentrations were below the standard of 10 mg/L this quarter in wells DAD-26, DAD-27, and 692-02. Although concentrations are above the standard in DAD-22 and DAD-20, concentrations are declining and are currently at 1.5 times and just over twice the standard, respectively.

### 3.3.3 Anomalous Results

There are five wells spread throughout the AP plan area that had analytical results significantly outside of historical ranges in August/September 2025; these data are noted as anomalous in Tables 4 and 5. Three wells had anomalously low concentrations of nitrate, chloride, and/or TDS (Bright Star Dairy well 257-03, Sunset Dairy well 340-01, and AP well DAD-25). The concentrations in these wells remained low in November/December 2025. The concentrations in Sunset Dairy well 340-01 and AP well DAD-25 increased but remain an order of magnitude below historical results, and concentrations in Bright Star Dairy well 257-03 have continued to decrease.

Two wells had anomalously high concentrations of nitrate, chloride, and TDS (Big Sky well 833-09 and Del Oro well 692-08) in August/September 2025. Concentrations in both wells remained anomalously high in November/December 2025. Concentrations in Big Sky well 833-09 decreased relative to last quarter and are more similar to historical results, but concentrations in Del Oro well 692-08 continued to increase to an order of magnitude above historical data.

TKN concentrations remain consistent with historical results in all five wells suggesting that surface water infiltration at the well head is not a likely explanation for the anomalous results. Analytical results from these wells will be observed in future monitoring events.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This groundwater monitoring event included the gauging of DP and AP wells and sampling of wells scheduled for sampling that contained sufficient water. Dominguez and Dominguez 2 wells were not monitored. Based on the data collected, the following conclusions and recommendations are presented:

- The following AP wells were not scheduled for sampling this quarter: DAD-02, DAD-03, DAD-04, DAD-05, DAD-16, DAD-17, and DAD-24. They are sampled semi-annually, with sampling events in February/March and August/September.
- Depth to groundwater ranged from 13.50 ft btoc in Sunset well 257-03 to 97.80 ft btoc in AP well DAD-15.
- On average, water levels decreased in the northern area (0.25 foot), central area (0.23 foot), and southern area (0.46 foot) of the regional aquifer. In the southern perched aquifer, groundwater elevations decreased by an average of 0.29 feet.
- During the most recent gauging event, groundwater flow direction of the northern regional aquifer varied from east-northeast to southeast. Flow direction in the central and southern portions of the regional aquifer were generally to the southeast. Groundwater flow direction in the southern perched aquifer was to the south-southwest.
- The hydraulic gradient across the Dairies in the regional aquifer is 0.001 ft/ft. The hydraulic gradient in the perched aquifer in the southern area was approximately 0.006 ft/ft.
- Groundwater flow has been evolving in the regional and perched aquifers of the southern portion. Groundwater mounding can first be observed in the perched aquifer in November 2024 and in the regional aquifer in February 2025. Groundwater gradient has been consistent in the regional aquifer, but has increased in the perched aquifer from 0.004 ft/ft in November 2024 to its current gradient of 0.006 ft/ft.
- Nitrate concentrations were below the 10 mg/L NMWQCC standard in groundwater collected from 5 of the 20 AP monitoring wells sampled.
- Of particular note are declining trends apparent downgradient of the groundwater extraction system at Del Oro Dairy. In AP wells DAD-20, DAD-22, DAD-26, and DAD-27, and in Del Oro well 692-02, nitrate concentrations continue to decline. Concentrations were below standard this quarter in wells DAD-26, DAD-27, and 692-02. DAD-22 is just 1.5 times standard and DAD-20 twice standard.
- Anomalous concentrations of nitrate, chloride, and TDS detected in five wells across the AP area in August/September 2025 remain outside of historical ranges in November/December 2025.
- Chloride and TDS generally remain at or above standards in wells across the site, including upgradient of the northern, central, and southern portions at the Dairies.

## 5.0 REFERENCES

- Doña Ana Dairies. 2006. Letter Regarding Agreement for Joint Stage 1 and Stage 2 Abatement Plan and Storm Water and Wastewater Pond Upgrades. Letter from Mr. Michael Weatherly, Chairman, Doña Ana Dairies, to Mr. William Olson, Chief, Ground Water Quality Bureau. 30 October.
- EA. 2009. *Site Investigation Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. 16 July 16.
- EA. 2012a. *Final Site Investigation Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. February 9.
- EA. 2012b. *Final Site Investigation Report Addendum, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. September 9.
- EA. 2013a. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. March 13.
- EA. 2013b. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan, Revision 01, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. November 7.
- EA. 2016. *Stage 2 Implementation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. July 26.
- EA. 2019. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Proposal and DAD-06 Monitoring Well Rehabilitation for Doña Ana Dairies, Doña Ana County, New Mexico, Revision 02*. July 26.
- EA. 2020a. *Five-Year Evaluation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. December 20.
- EA. 2020b. *S2AP Addendum for Reuse of Pumped Groundwater, Revision 01, Del Oro Dairy, Anthony, New Mexico*. July 15.
- EA. 2021. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Addendum for Reuse of Pumped Groundwater, Revision 02, Del Oro Dairy, Anthony, New Mexico*. July.
- EA. 2022. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan for Doña Ana Dairies*. February 15.
- EA. 2023. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Completion Report for Doña Ana Dairies (Del Oro Dairy), Anthony, New Mexico*. May 16.
- EA. 2026. *Five-Year Evaluation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. January 29.
- Golder Associates, Inc. (Golder). 2006. *Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plan Proposal, prepared for New Mexico Environment Department, Remediation Oversight Section, on behalf of Doña Ana Dairies*. December 11.

- Golder. 2008a. *Existing Data Report and Conceptual Work Plan, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. February 1.
- Golder. 2008b. Conceptual Work Plan. Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico. February 1.
- Golder. 2008c. Notes for the Meeting Regarding New Monitoring Well Installation. Meeting Participants: Doña Ana Dairy representative, DAD technical representatives, and NMED staff. July 28.
- Golder. 2008d. Sampling and Analysis Plan. Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico. August 11.
- New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). 2008. Approval of Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Doña Ana Dairies, Stage 1 Abatement Plan, Doña Ana County, New Mexico. September 25.
- NMED. 2015. Approval of Stage 2 Abatement Plan Proposal for Doña Ana Dairies. Final Order from Mr. Ryan Flynn, Secretary, Ground Water Quality Bureau. April 10.
- NMED. 2022. Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Approval for Dona Ana Dairies. April 26.
- NMED. 2024. Proposal Response for Dona Ana Dairies, Monitoring Plan. September 18.
- NMED, Doña Ana Dairies, and Rio Valle Concerned Citizens. 2015. Stipulated Agreement, Dona Ana Dairies Stage 2 Abatement Plan. March 25.

**TABLES**

**(Provided in Electronic Format via CD Located on Front Cover of Report)**

## **FIGURES**

**APPENDIX A**

**DEL ORO DAIRY PUMP AND REUSE SYSTEM PERFORMANCE  
ASSESSMENT**

**APPENDIX B**

**GAUGING AND SAMPLING FIELD FORMS**  
**(Provided Electronically via CD)**

**APPENDIX C**

**ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORTS**  
**(Provided Electronically via CD)**

**APPENDIX D**  
**HYDROGRAPHS BY AREA**

**APPENDIX E**  
**FIELD PARAMETER TRENDS BY AREA**

**APPENDIX F**

**CONCENTRATION TRENDS BY AREA -  
ABATEMENT PLAN WELLS**