

28 environmental permitting support for the Surface Mine Control and Reclamation Act (“SMCRA”)
29 and the Clean Water Act’s (“CWA”) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
30 (“NPDES”) programs. I also conduct internal environmental audits and assist operations with
31 permit compliance as well as design and implement hydrogeologic monitoring plans for site
32 characterization and impact assessments.

33 Prior to my current position, from March 2012 through July 2017, I served as a hydrologist
34 for Peabody Investment Corporation’s Midwest operations, which included providing hydrology,
35 environmental permitting, and regulatory compliance support for Peabody’s Midwest Operations
36 and preparing environmental permit applications, renewals, and modifications for water-related
37 regulatory programs (CWA, SMCRA). In that capacity, I also managed water monitoring and
38 water treatment programs, and was responsible for designing and implementing hydrogeologic
39 monitoring plans for site characterization and impact assessments.

40 **III. Regional Hydrology**

41 The Use Attainability Analysis (“UAA”) study area is located in the southern portion of
42 the San Juan Basin within the Chaco Slope structural province (Kelley, 1963). The San Juan
43 Structural Basin (“Basin”) is a northwest trending, asymmetric structural basin on the eastern edge
44 of the Colorado Plateau. The basin is approximately 19,400 mi² and is located predominately
45 within northwestern New Mexico, with smaller portions of the Basin falling within southern
46 Colorado, northeast Arizona, and southeast Utah. The Basin is located in a semi-arid to arid region
47 and surface water resources are limited. Annual precipitation is greater in the topographic highs of
48 the Basin and ranges from approximately 30 inches near Mount Taylor to approximately 8 inches
49 in the central Basin (Stone et al., 1983). High evaporation rates have been reported for the lower
50 elevations of the Basin. Measured pan evaporation rates from 46 to 67.37 inches per year at
51 stations near Farmington, El Vado Dam, and Gallup (Stone et al., 1983). The Basin is drained

52 primarily by the San Juan River in the north, the Puerco River in the southwest, and the Rio Puerco
53 to the southeast. Only the San Juan River and its major northern tributaries are naturally perennial;
54 however, portions of some streams in the Basin have been shown to be perennial for short reaches
55 downstream from springs, wells, or industrial discharges (Kernodle, 1996). Extensive work has
56 been done to characterize the available groundwater resources in the Basin. Major aquifers include
57 Quaternary valley fill and sandstones of the Tertiary and Mesozoic (Cretaceous, Jurassic, and
58 Triassic). The occurrence, movement, and availability of groundwater in the Basin is subject to
59 significant geologic control which include the distribution of the permeable sandstone aquifers,
60 the Basin's geologic structure, and the regional stratigraphy.

61 Topography plays an important role in the location of recharge and discharge areas within
62 the Basin. Topography is the result of both structural and geomorphic processes that act on the
63 local stratigraphy. Structure processes provide the elevation and general configuration of recharge
64 areas and geomorphic processes dictate the extent that these structural features are modified by
65 erosion and deposition. Peabody Exhibit 15 includes the structural features of the Basin. The
66 structural features of the Basin are the result of tectonism that began as early as the late Paleozoic
67 along the areas bordering the Basin (San Juan Uplift, Nacimiento Uplift, Zuni Uplift, and Defiance
68 Uplift). Recurrent uplift and minor deformation continued through the Mesozoic but it was not
69 until the Laramide Orogeny during the Late Cretaceous and early tertiary that the structural
70 features as seen today began to form (Kelly 1950, 1951, 1957; Craig, 2001). After the culmination
71 of the Laramide, minor doming associated with intrusion by laccoliths and other igneous bodies
72 modified some of the older structures in the late Tertiary (Woodward and Callender, 1977; Craig,
73 2001). The structural boundaries consist primarily of large elongate domal uplifts, marginal
74 platforms, and abrupt monoclines (Kelley, 1951; Levings et al, 1995).

75 The Basin contains thick sequences of sedimentary rock ranging from Cambrian through
76 Tertiary with a maximum stratigraphic thickness of approximately 14,000 ft (Kernodle, 1996) that
77 overlies a Precambrian crystalline-rock basement complex. Sedimentary rocks of Jurassic and
78 Cretaceous age outcrop around the Basin rim, and over broad areas in the southern and western
79 parts of the Basin, and are successively overlain by younger rocks towards the center of the Basin
80 (Stone et al, 1983). Tertiary sedimentary rocks cover most of the central Basin. The sedimentary
81 rocks dip basin-ward from the Basin's margins toward the center except where locally interrupted
82 by folds, faults, and domes. Tertiary volcanic rocks and various Quaternary aged deposits are also
83 present within the Basin. Faulting is common, especially in the northeastern, southeastern, and
84 south-central parts of the Basin (Craig, 2001).

85 Peabody Exhibit 20 includes a regional hydrogeologic section including the primary
86 aquifers as identified by Stone et al, 1983. Aquifers in the southern portion of the Basin include
87 the Permian San Andres Limestone and Glorieta Sandstone, the Entrada Sandstone of the middle
88 Jurassic, the Westwater Canyon Member of the Morrison Formation of the Upper Jurassic,
89 the Dakota Sandstone of the Upper Cretaceous, and the Gallup Sandstone, Crevasse Canyon
90 Formation, Point Lookout Sandstone and Menefee Formation of the Mesaverde Group of the
91 Upper Cretaceous. The San Juan Basin was a site of both marine and continental deposition, and
92 this is reflected by the thick aquitards of lower permeable materials that separate the aquifers.
93 Aquifers of the late Cretaceous, Paleocene, and Eocene seen in the northern part of the Basin were
94 removed by later Tertiary – Quaternary erosion in the southern end of the Basin. Whether a
95 formation is used as an aquifer in an area of the Basin is dependent on the depth to groundwater,
96 formation yield, and quality of groundwater. Although deeper formations may contain

97 groundwater, their depths generally preclude groundwater exploration or development except
98 along the margins of the Basin where they are close to the surface.

99 Regional groundwater flow is from recharge areas located at the topographically elevated
100 Basin margins towards discharge areas along the lower reaches of the San Juan River in the
101 northwest, Puerco River drainage in the southwest and parts of the Rio Puerco in the southeast.
102 Peabody Exhibit 16 shows the general pattern of deep groundwater flow in rock of Jurassic and
103 Cretaceous age. Discharge also occurs from springs and seeps located in the topographically low
104 parts of outcrops. Water table conditions are typically encountered in the outcrop areas and
105 confined conditions are encountered as the bedrock units dip towards the center of the Basin and
106 are overlain by low permeable rocks that act as aquitards. Artesian discharge from the upward
107 movement of groundwater across confining units along fault planes and fractures also occurs in
108 the Basin. Subsurface, inter-formational movement of water across low permeable units to another
109 aquifer with lower hydraulic head also occurs. Discharge also occurs artificially from free-flowing
110 wells and pumped wells used for municipal, domestic, agricultural, or livestock purposes. Within
111 some of the stream valleys, Quaternary alluvium can contain local aquifers.

112 **IV. Local Hydrology**

113 The San Isidro Arroyo watershed is located along the southern end of the San Juan Basin
114 north of Mount Taylor. The watershed is bound by the San Mateo Mesa to the south and drains to
115 the northeast towards the Arroyo Chico approximately 4.8 miles downstream of the Lee Ranch
116 Mine (“LRM”) permit area. Elevations range from approximately 8,200 ft msl in the headwaters
117 near the San Mateo Mesa to approximately 6,440 ft msl at the San Isidro Arroyo confluence with
118 Arroyo Chico. The headwaters originate in steep, deeply incised canyons which rapidly drop in
119 elevation in the central and lower portion of the watershed which is characterized by rolling hills
120 and broad, flat channels.

121 Climate is the driver for the presence of surface water within the drainage channels of the
122 San Isidro Arroyo watershed. The Basin is located in a semi-arid region and the average annual
123 precipitation measured at the LRM weather station is approximately 10.5 inches (1985 – 2017).
124 High evapotranspiration rates characterize this area and the annual moisture deficit is in excess of
125 21 inches (SMCRA Permit 19-2P). Runoff occurs irregularly in direct response to precipitation
126 events such as summer thunderstorms, or less frequently, snow-melt runoff. Most of the rainfall
127 occurs during the mid-summer to mid-fall monsoon season (July – October) as brief, but often
128 intense, thunderstorms that often occur over only partial areas within a given watershed. Flow
129 events are flashy and are characterized by rapid peaks and relatively short durations. LRM is
130 required to monitor the primary drainage channels as part of its MMD Permit (19-2P). Monitoring
131 points are in Arroyo Tinaja, Mulatto Canyon, and Doctor Arroyo. Because of the remote location
132 of the stream monitoring points, the limited duration of the flow events (often less than 30
133 minutes), and the safety related concerns with accessing these areas after a rain event, it is very
134 difficult to physically be present to collect water samples. Therefore, single stage, non-automated
135 sediment samplers were installed at each monitoring station (see Figure X for SWM locations).
136 The samplers were modeled after similar non-automated devices developed by the USGS to
137 monitor ephemeral streams in New Mexico. The stream sample point locations are checked
138 monthly or following sizeable rain events. Rainfall does not occur ubiquitously across the site and
139 surface water monitoring conducted within the Mulatto Canyon, Arroyo Tinaja, and San Isidro
140 Arroyo at the LRM indicates that the occurrence of flow events that produce sufficient volumes of
141 water for sample collection using the single stage samplers varies from 1 -10 times per year, with
142 a mean of 4 events per year. Most of these events occur during the summer monsoon season.

143 The closest USGS Gaging Station (08340500) to the study area is on the Arroyo Chico,
144 approximately 35 miles downstream, just prior to its confluence with the Rio Puerco. A watershed,
145 or catchment, is a precipitation collector that both stores and routes water to a common point. The
146 outlet is located at the lowest topographic position where both surface water and shallow
147 groundwater converge. Therefore, as the drainage area increases the potential for perennial flow
148 increases. The drainage area reporting to the Arroyo Chico Gaging Station is approximately
149 880,210 acres (1375 mi²); with the San Isidro Arroyo watershed (51,006 acres; 79.7 mi²),
150 representing less than six percent of its drainage area. Discharge data is available from October
151 1943 through September 1986 and October 2005 through present. Monitoring at the gaging station
152 was discontinued by the USGS between October 1986 and September 2005. Peabody Exhibit 17
153 presents a hydrograph of the available daily mean discharge data for station 0834500. The
154 discharge record for this station indicates extensive periods of no flow, with the arroyo averaging
155 198 days (range: 44 – 366 days) of measured flow on an annual basis over the 54 years during
156 which a complete flow record was available.

157 The highest mean daily flows typically occur between July and September and are likely
158 the result of intense local precipitation in the Basin. Prior to 1973 the Arroyo Chico exhibited a
159 lower frequency of flow events per year, with a mean of 152 events per year, but had a higher
160 frequency of mean daily flow above 1000 cubic feet per second (cfs), with 49 events exceeding
161 this threshold between October 1, 1943 and December 31, 1972. Since that time, the frequency of
162 flow events has increased, with a mean of 250 events per year, but the mean daily flow has only
163 exceeded 1000 cfs twice during the period of available record. The LRM did not begin operating
164 until late 1984, over a decade after the reduction in the mean daily flow began. Even at its current
165 maximum, the LRM's disturbance area, 8470 acres (13.2 mi²), represents less than one percent of

166 the drainage area reporting to gaging station 08340500. Although discharge rarely occurs from the
167 numerous sediment ponds that have been constructed to provide treatment of disturbed area runoff
168 from the LRM (see NPDES Permit No. NM0029581) they do not capture and store significant
169 volumes of water due to the infrequent nature of runoff events in the area. All runoff that originates
170 in watersheds upstream of the LRM is routed around or through the LRM mine area using
171 diversions. Therefore, it is understood that the LRM has not had a significant impact on the volume
172 of water observed at the gaging station.

173 Locally, the hydrogeologic units of importance include the shallow, accessible, sandstone
174 aquifers and water bearing units of Cretaceous age, specifically the Point Lookout Sandstone and
175 to a lesser extent the water bearing lenticular sandstone present within the Cleary Coal Member of
176 the Menefee formation. The Gallup Sandstone is also an important regional aquifer but its depth
177 (>1200 ft bgs) prevents significant usage. Similarly, the deeper Mesozoic and Permian age regional
178 aquifers are not utilized in this area. Sandstones typically act as aquifers because of their ability
179 to transmit significant quantities of groundwater as compared to other less permeable rocks such
180 as shales or mudstones. A surface geology map of the study area is provided as Peabody Exhibit
181 18. The Menefee formation outcrops across the central and lower portion of the watershed, where
182 the softer shale units form the rolling broad valleys or flats seen at the surface. The Cleary Coal
183 Member of the Menefee Formation consists of sandstone, siltstone, mudstone, shale and coal. The
184 sandstone units and coal seams are generally lenticular and tend to lack lateral continuity. Peabody
185 Exhibit 19 includes a representative geologic column of the Menefee Formation within the LRM
186 permit area. The Point Lookout Sandstone outcrops along the southern end of the study area where
187 it forms the cliffs and caps the San Mateo Mesa. The Point Lookout Sandstone is broken into two
188 units separated by the Satan Tongue of the Mancos Shale in this area. The upper (or primary unit)

189 referred to as the Point Lookout Sandstone and the lower unit is referred to as the Hosta Tongue.
190 It also outcrops at the San Miguel Creek Dome located east of the study area near the confluence
191 of San Isidro Arroyo with the Arroyo Chico. The Point Lookout Sandstone is laterally continuous
192 and is separated from the water bearing sandstones and coal units of the Menefee Formation by
193 low permeable shale that is located at the base of the Menefee formation. The Gallup Sandstone
194 does not outcrop within the study area and is separated from the Point Lookout Sandstone by
195 several hundred feet of low permeable bedrock. Faulting is not extensive on the Chaco Slope, but
196 its frequency is greater on the southern margin, adjacent to the Zuni uplift. The faults associated
197 with the San Mateo dome have a northerly to northeasterly trend, directions that are frequently
198 associated with faulting along the north flank of the Zuni uplift. San Miguel Creek dome is broken
199 into segments by a series of east-trending faults. The local dip of the bedrock has been influenced
200 by the San Mateo dome and the San Miguel Creek domes. The strata in the vicinity of the San
201 Mateo dome dips at approximately 2° in a northeasterly direction. The strata in the eastern portion
202 of the study area near the San Miguel Creek Dome dips to the northwest at approximately 2°.

203 Recharge of the shallower Menefee Formation and Point Lookout Sandstone occurs in and
204 around the sandstone outcrops located to the south and southeast of the LRM permit area where
205 fractures allow for more rapid infiltration of precipitation. The recharge zone of the Gallup aquifer
206 is located southeast of the San Mateo Mesa, outside of the study area, where it outcrops.
207 Groundwater moves downgradient to areas of discharge in accordance with Darcy's law, where
208 flow is equal to the groundwater gradient times the aquifers hydraulic conductivity times the cross-
209 sectional area of the aquifer perpendicular to flow. Groundwater flow within the bedrock follows
210 the structural dip of the lithologic units and is modified locally by the type and degree of fracturing.
211 Groundwater flow in the vicinity of the LRM permit area is in a north-northeasterly direction

212 (MMD Permit 19-2P). To the north of the southern recharge zone impermeable shales limit vertical
213 groundwater flow resulting in confined conditions which prevents appreciable connectivity with
214 the surface. The natural bedrock groundwater discharge is limited to a small handful of low
215 discharge rate springs predominately found in the eastern portion of the study area (Subwatershed
216 1D). This was supported through geochemical characterization which indicated that the water from
217 these springs was of the same water type as the groundwater monitored in the Menefee Formation
218 and Point Lookout Sandstone wells on the eastern side of the mine permit. Artificial discharge
219 includes wells used for livestock water and the mine void. Water emanating from the springs and
220 livestock wells is typically diffuse, limited in quantity and evaporates or soaks into the ground
221 within very short distances due to the semi-arid climatic conditions.

222 Doctor Spring, identified as “S-3” on Figure 3, Peabody Exhibit 7, located within the mine
223 exclusion area, does exhibit measurable volumes of water, as demonstrated by photo point PP160
224 on Figure 3. The spring reports to a livestock tank that produces minor contributions of overflow
225 to the channel. In 2013 the LRM installed a water supply tank, which is supplied by wells W22-
226 211, W22-212, and W22-213, all identified in Figure 3, and three livestock drinkers to supplement
227 the needs of the rancher and supply additional water to the small wetland feature in the area.
228 Overflow from the Doctor Spring area evaporates or soaks into the ground within a short distance
229 (< 900 ft within Doctor Arroyo). No diminution or interruption of groundwater is expected to occur
230 at the springs located outside of the permit boundary. Seven springs are located within the LRM
231 MMD permit boundary. Five of the seven are expected to be mined through. The Coal Mine,
232 identified as “S-9” in Figure 3, and Salazaar, identified as “S-8” in Figure 3, springs are not
233 expected to be mined through but may be influenced by water level drawdowns from adjacent
234 mining. Impacts to these springs are addressed through the mitigation requirements of the Army

235 Corp of Engineers' Clean Water Act Section 404 permit (Action No. NM-97-00200) and MMD
236 Permit 19-2P.

237 Although mining has had some impact on the bedrock groundwater levels in the area, it
238 has not impacted groundwater contributions to the stream channels. As previously described, the
239 bedrock aquifers of the area are overlain by impermeable shales which results in confined
240 conditions that prevents strong connectivity with the stream channels. The low hydraulic
241 conductivities of the Menefee Formation and Point Lookout Sandstone limit the quantity of
242 groundwater that flows into the mine pits and the radius of influence of the drawdown. Significant
243 groundwater inflows into the LRM mine pits have not been encountered during mining. Some
244 drawdown of the bedrock water table was expected and was contemplated in both the mines
245 Cumulative Hydrologic Impact Assessment and by the State Engineers Office as part of the LRM's
246 permit for appropriating groundwater. This includes an annual groundwater appropriation of 1500
247 acre ("ac-ft") for water that both enters the pits and water produced from the mine's water supply
248 wells.

249 The study area is sparsely populated and groundwater usage is limited. A total of 20 diversion
250 wells were identified. Five of these wells are owned by the LRM. Three are located within the
251 Menefee Formation (TD: 215 ft bgs) and supply three livestock drinkers that supplement the needs
252 of the rancher and supply additional water to a small wetland feature. The combined annual
253 withdrawal at these wells averages approximately 0.2 ac-ft per year. The remaining two are mine
254 production wells that are screened at much deeper depths within the Crevasse Canyon Formation
255 and Gallup Sandstone (TD: 1524 - 1553 ft bgs). The two production wells are hydrologically
256 isolated from the surface by several hundred feet of low permeable bedrock units. The remaining
257 fifteen wells are shallow bedrock wells screened either within the Menefee or the Point Lookout

258 Sandstone that are used primarily for livestock purposes. These wells have permitted withdrawals
259 of only 3 ac-ft/yr and are typically only used during periods when livestock are grazing in the
260 immediate area. The semi-arid climate limits vegetation in this region resulting in the need for
261 livestock herds to graze several hundred acres per year to accommodate their dietary needs.
262 Therefore, these wells are typically only used on an as needed basis when the herd is grazing in
263 the immediate area. These withdrawals are insignificant and have negligible effects on the
264 available groundwater in the area or the surface water flow regimes of the stream channels
265 evaluated during the 2017 HP Assessment and UAA.

266 Unconsolidated Quaternary deposits include alluvium, colluvium, and eolian deposits.
267 Exploration drilling associated with the LRM indicates the unconsolidated materials ranged from
268 approximately 0 – 80 ft in thickness within the permit area. Detectable groundwater was not
269 identified in the unconsolidated materials above the shallowest coal seam during the exploratory
270 drilling for MMD Permit 19-2P. In 1982, monitoring well MW-4, as identified in Peabody Exhibit
271 7, Figure 3, was also completed to a depth of 52 ft below ground surface within the unconsolidated
272 material overlying the Menefee formation, but failed to produce water . No unconsolidated water
273 supply wells are known to exist in the study area. This is consistent with the observations made by
274 Cooper and John, 1968 (NMSE Technical Report 35) who noted that only minor amounts of water
275 were present in the alluvium in southeastern McKinley County, with dug wells identified near San
276 Mateo Creek, the Azul Creek Valley, and San Antonio Spring. All of those locations are outside
277 of the San Isidro Arroyo watershed.

278 **V. Conclusion**

279 The scientific evidence demonstrates the stream segments identified in Peabody’s proposed
280 rulemaking are ephemeral. I support Peabody’s request to have the stream segments identified in
281 the proposed regulatory change properly classified as ephemeral.

282 This concludes my direct testimony.

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310 **References Cited**

- 311 Cooper, J. B. and John, E. G., 1968. Geology and Ground Water Occurrences in Southeastern
312 McKinley County, New Mexico. NMSE Technical Report 35, 119 p.
- 313 Craig, S.D., 2001, Geologic framework of the San Juan structural basin of New Mexico,
314 Colorado, Arizona, and Utah with emphasis on Triassic through Tertiary rocks: U.S.
315 Geological Survey Professional Paper 1420, 70 p.
316
- 317 Kelley, V.C., 1950, Precambrian rocks of the San Juan Basin, *in* Guidebook of the San Juan
318 Basin, New Mexico and Colorado: New Mexico Geological Society, 1st Field Conference,
319 p. 53-55.
320
- 321 Kelley, V.C., 1951, Tectonics of the San Juan Basin, *in* Guidebook of the south and west sides of
322 the San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Arizona: New Mexico Geological Society, 2d Field
323 Conference, p. 124-131.
324
- 325 Kelley, V.C., 1957, Tectonics of the San Juan Basin and surrounding areas, *in* Little, C.J., and
326 Gill, J.J., eds., Guidebook to geology of southwestern San Juan Basin: Four Corners
327 Geological Society, 2d Field Conference, p. 44-52.
328
- 329 Kelley, V.C. 1963. Tectonic Setting. In: Geology and Technology of the Grants Uranium
330 Region. NMBMMR Mem. 19, p. 19-20.
- 331 Kernodle, J.M. 1996. Hydrogeology and steady-state simulation of ground-water flow in the San
332 Juan Basin, New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, and Utah: U.S Geological Survey Water-
333 Resources Investigations Report 95-4187, 117 p.
334
- 335 Levings, G.W., Kernodle, J.M., and Thorn, C.R., 1996, Summary of the San Juan structural
336 basin Regional Aquifer-System Analysis, New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, and Utah: U.S.
337 Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 95-4188, 55 p.
338
- 339 Stone, W.J., Lyford, P.P., Frenzel, P.P., Mizell, N.H., and Padgett, E.T., 1983. Hydrogeology
340 and water resources of San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Socorro, New Mexico Bureau of
341 Mines and Mineral Resources Hydrologic Report 6, 70 p.
342
- 343 Woodward, L.A., and Callender, J.F., 1977, Tectonic framework of the San Juan Basin, *in*
344 Fassett, J.E., ed., Guidebook of San Juan Basin HI, northwestern New Mexico: New
345 Mexico Geological Society, 28th Field Conference, p. 209-212.
346
347
348
349