

32 (30) years with Peabody Energy and its affiliated companies. As a hydrologist and environmental
33 professional, I have extensive experience with permitting and compliance activities under various
34 federal and state environmental laws including, but not limited to, the Clean Water Act (“CWA”)
35 the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (“SMCRA”) and the Safe Drinking Water Act
36 (“SDWA”). I have overseen permitting and compliance for several of Peabody’s western coal
37 mines, including both the Lee Ranch Mine and El Segundo Mine in New Mexico.

38 I have held numerous positions with Peabody Energy and its affiliates, including Manager
39 Environmental Hydrology, a position I held from 2007 to May 2015. My responsibilities as an
40 employee for Peabody in these capacities have included design and installation of hydrologic
41 monitoring programs, development of Quality Assurance documents for field activities and
42 laboratory analyses, and development of permitting documents under SMCRA, CWA and SDWA
43 for Peabody’s operations in several western states and on tribal lands. My responsibilities also
44 included field work, such as conducting stream gauging in ephemeral and intermittent streams,
45 surveying channel cross-sections and profiles, sampling stream bed material, and estimating peak
46 flows of streams using field measurements. While employed by Peabody, I also provided
47 assistance to the Lee Ranch Coal Company over several decades on several National Pollutant
48 Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”) related issues, including renewal of NPDES permits
49 for the El Segundo and Lee Ranch Mines. Additionally, I provided testimony on behalf of Lee
50 Ranch Coal Company to the Commission for Triennial Reviews in 2009 and 2014.

51 In April 2015, I retired from full-time employment with Peabody and began working as a
52 part-time consultant for the company, which is my current position. My consulting projects
53 include review of NPDES permits and hydrologic assessments of reclaimed mines for release of
54 bonds and liability under federal and state programs associated with SMCRA. These projects are

55 located in in Montana, Colorado and Arizona. Most recently, I provided advice and assistance
56 conducting field observations of streams at the Lee Ranch Mine using New Mexico’s Hydrology
57 Protocol, which various witnesses will describe in detail.

58 **III. Introduction to the Lee Ranch Mine**
59

60 The Lee Ranch Mine is a surface coal mine located in McKinley County New Mexico. As
61 shown on Peabody Exhibit 7, Figure 3, it is approximately twenty (20) miles north of Grants, New
62 Mexico and east of the Continental Divide. Annual rainfall at the LRM ranges between ten (10)
63 and twelve (12) inches per year, which results in a semi-arid climate. Streams in the vicinity of
64 the LRM include Arroyo Tinaja, Mulatto Canyon, San Isidro Arroyo, Doctor Arroyo, and
65 tributaries thereof.

66 At the time of initially permitting the LRM, the New Mexico Mining and Minerals Division
67 (“MMD”) characterized stream flow in the region, and within the LRM mine permit area, as
68 ephemeral, flowing only in response to precipitation and channel bottoms above the water table
69 year round. Evidence from MMD’s evaluation and information contained in the LRM mine permit
70 application suggest that ephemeral stream flow conditions were prevalent prior to mining and
71 stream flow in the drainages within and adjacent to the LRM were ephemeral prior to mining.

72 **IV. Introduction to Lee Ranch Mine Permits**
73

74 The LRM operates in accordance with a number of permits, including permits under the
75 federal Clean Water Act and New Mexico Water Quality Act, and the federal coal reclamation law
76 known as the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act. These permits, most notably, include
77 a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”) permit and a SMCRA permit.
78 LRM’s NPDES Permit No. NM0029581 regulates discharges of disturbed area runoff. The LRM
79 NPDES permit is issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“USEPA”)

80 Region 6 under the CWA in consultation with the New Mexico Environmental Department
81 (“NMED”). LRM’s SMCRA permit, issued pursuant to New Mexico’s delegated SMCRA
82 program, is MMD Surface Mining Permit No. 19-2P, which regulates coal mining, reclamation
83 and closure activities.

84 **V. New Mexico Surface Water Quality Standards**

85
86 The New Mexico Surface Water Quality Standards (“SWQS”) that are the subject of
87 Peabody’s Petition were developed over a number of decades in consultation with the USEPA and
88 the NMED. New Mexico’s SWQS are based on the designated uses of surface water, including
89 streams, pursuant to the CWA. The CWA presumes surface waters are fishable and swimmable
90 (“Federal presumption”) and that water quality standards established for both uses are generally
91 appropriate. Accordingly, NMED applies standards based on these presumed uses and, in some
92 cases, other use categories. Use categories and attendant SWQS established for streams depend
93 on the classification of stream flow. Designated uses are created based on whether a stream flows
94 year round (perennial), periodically (intermittent), or only in response to precipitation (ephemeral).
95 The Federal presumption assumes unclassified waters in New Mexico to be either intermittent or
96 perennial.

97 Waters that have been classified waters of the State have specific designations found at
98 20.6.4.101-899 NMAC. The remainder of the waters are unclassified and are considered to be
99 either intermittent or perennial, therefore fishable and swimmable, and are found at 20.6.4.98
100 NMAC. The CWA allows for re-classifying stream segments assumed to be either intermittent or
101 perennial (e.g., unclassified) as ephemeral based on a Use Attainability Analysis. New Mexico,
102 recognizing the ability for re-classifying stream segments, has adopted a specific set of scientific
103 procedures to determine the stream flow regime for unclassified streams. The procedures for re-

104 classifying stream segments are provided for in New Mexico's foundational methodology known
105 as the Hydrology Protocol ("HP"). Results of implementing an approved work plan based on the
106 Hydrology Protocol may be used to develop a UAA and propose re-classification of unclassified
107 streams in the New Mexico SWQS.

108 The New Mexico SWQS influence both of the LRM permits I previously described.
109 Effluent limits and monitoring requirements in the LRM's NPDES Permit are based in part on the
110 SWQS established for the receiving streams. Additionally, New Mexico's SWQS must be met
111 after mine closure and final reclamation of the LRM in order for MMD to approve release of
112 liability and insurance bonds under the LRM surface mining permit issued by the MMD under its
113 delegated SMCRA authority. Because of the CWA's Federal presumption, virtually all streams in
114 the vicinity of the LRM permit area are not included in a classified Water Quality Standards
115 segment (20.6.4.101-899 NMAC). Consequently, they are unclassified waters of the State
116 (20.6.4.98 NMAC) and are considered to be either intermittent or perennial (fishable and
117 swimmable).

118 In 2011, the NMED completed field work using the NMED SWQB's Hydrology Protocol
119 on the Mulatto Canyon drainage and a portion of the San Isidro Arroyo within the LRM permit
120 boundary. This action was part of a study of eighteen (18) unclassified non-perennial stream
121 segments associated with several facilities (including, but not limited to, the LRM facility) that
122 hold NPDES permits in New Mexico. The results of the study were incorporated into a UAA
123 developed in June 2012. The June 2012 UAA indicated that the portion of Mulatto Canyon and
124 the portion of the San Isidro Arroyo evaluated are ephemeral (NMED 2012). *See* Peabody Exhibit
125 8. NMED's 2012 UAA was limited in scope, however. It did not, for example, evaluate what might
126 be the appropriate designated uses of the tributary drainages that report to Mulatto Canyon as well

127 as the tributaries within and adjacent to the LRM that report to Arroyo Tinaja, Doctor Arroyo, and
128 San Isidro Arroyo. As a result, those drainages and tributaries within and adjacent to the LRM
129 remain unclassified.

130 **VI. Purpose of the Lee Ranch Mine Use Attainability Analysis**

131
132 Because many drainages and tributaries within and adjacent to the LRM remain
133 unclassified, they currently are subject to SWQS that are based on the CWA’s presumption that
134 the highest attainable use of the streams are based on the CWA’s notion that they are fishable and
135 swimmable. Put another way, the presumption assumes they can support human recreational uses
136 as well as warm-water aquatic life that includes fish. That creates a dilemma for LRM under its
137 SMCRA permit, because under that permit, at closure its bond release provisions require a
138 determination by MMD that all “applicable” water quality standards will be met. The dilemma is
139 avoidable, moreover, in fairness to the LRM.

140 The LRM believes establishing more precisely appropriate use categories for all stream
141 segments that are within or adjacent to the LRM permit area is warranted. The LRM developed a
142 UAA in order to assess all unclassified streams within the permit area in order to provide sufficient
143 scientifically-based information based on the Hydrology Protocol to determine the flow regimes
144 of all unclassified streams and the highest attainable uses; to provide an opportunity to overcome
145 the Federal presumption that the unclassified waters are “fishable and swimmable;” to inform the
146 development of proposed changes to designated uses and standards that apply to the streams in
147 New Mexico’s SWQS; to minimize issues with terms and conditions of the LRM NPDES permit;
148 and to ensure clarification for MMD and NMED as to the appropriate standards that will need to
149 be met following mine closure and final reclamation.

150 **VII. Introduction to the Use Attainability Analysis’ Development and Implementation**

151

152 The LRM initiated the development of a work plan based on the SWQB's Hydrology
153 Protocol in 2015. The final version was completed and implemented in 2017. The LRM consulted
154 with both the NMED and USEPA while developing the work plan, and the plan incorporated the
155 suggestions of both agencies. The plan was implemented over field work conducted in June 2017.
156 During implementation of the work plan, NMED staff visited the LRM and reviewed the field
157 procedures, including stream surveying, photo documentation and data recording. The LRM
158 developed the UAA using office procedures and information collected in the field based on
159 NMED's Hydrology Protocol. The UAA provides the foundation for the proposed changes to
160 New Mexico's SWQS, which will be explained in much greater detail by Peabody witness James
161 Boswell.

162 **VIII. Introduction to Peabody's Additional Witnesses**

163
164 In support of this proposed rulemaking, Peabody will be offering three additional witnesses
165 to assist the Commission with understanding its proposed regulatory change. Peabody will present
166 Mr. Chad Gaines as Peabody's next witness. Mr. Gaines will discuss the specific proposed
167 changes to the New Mexico's SWQS, and will orient the Commission to the location of the
168 arroyos, tributaries and drainages involved in this proposed rulemaking. Peabody will then present
169 Mr. Jeff Olyphant as a witness in support of its proposed rule change. Mr. Olyphant will provide
170 a hydrologic overview of the LRM site and the surrounding area, including the four main
171 watersheds that Peabody evaluated using the Hydrology Protocol that are the subject of the UAA.
172 Finally, Peabody will present Mr. James Boswell as a witness. Mr. Boswell will describe the UAA
173 in great detail, including its development; agency input into, and approval of, a work plan;
174 implementation of the work plan, including employment of New Mexico's Hydrology Protocol;
175 and the UAA results and conclusions reached.

176 **IX. Conclusion**

177

178 The LRM ultimately asks the Commission to recognize changes to designated uses (and
179 associated standards) for all streams, drainages and tributaries within and adjacent to the LRM
180 based on valid, well-informed scientific data. Upon approval of the UAA and proposed changes
181 to the SWQS, the LRM, NMED, and the USEPA can ensure that the appropriate designated uses
182 and water quality standards are applied and maintained in these waterways.

183 This concludes my direct testimony in this matter.

184

185

186