



EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
www.eaest.com

August 29, 2024

Mr. Jaben Richards  
Ground Water Quality Bureau  
New Mexico Environment Department  
PO Box 5469  
Santa Fe, NM 87502

Dear Mr. Richards:

On behalf of Doña Ana Dairies, Inc., EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC is submitting this Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report for the dairies located in Mesquite, Vado, and Anthony, New Mexico. The report includes the Del Oro Dairy pump and reuse system performance assessment and the quarterly groundwater sampling event conducted to fulfill requirements of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan for Doña Ana Dairies.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the information provided in this report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Gina Mullen', is positioned above the printed name.

Gina Mullen  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Jay Snyder', is positioned above the printed name.

Jay Snyder, P.E.  
Senior Hydrogeologist

Enclosure

Cc: Linda Armstrong, Doña Ana Dairies (electronic)  
File



---

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
www.eaest.com

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER  
MONITORING REPORT  
DOÑA ANA DAIRIES  
MESQUITE, NEW MEXICO

Prepared for:

Doña Ana Dairies  
Mesquite, New Mexico

Prepared by:

EA Engineering, Science,  
and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

August 2024

EA Project No. 14641-14-00.02  
14641-13-00.02

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER  
MONITORING REPORT  
DOÑA ANA DAIRIES  
MESQUITE, NEW MEXICO

Prepared for:

Doña Ana Dairies  
Mesquite, New Mexico

Prepared by:

EA Engineering, Science,  
and Technology, Inc., PBC  
320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1300  
Albuquerque, New Mexico




---

Gina Mullen  
Project Manager

August 29, 2024

Date



---

Jay Snyder, P.E.  
Senior Hydrogeologist

August 29, 2024

Date

August 2024

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Objective and Monitoring Scope .....	1
1.2 Background.....	2
2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES .....	1
2.1 Monitoring Well Gauging.....	1
2.2 Groundwater Sampling .....	1
2.3 Irrigation/Supply Well Groundwater Sampling.....	2
3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS .....	3
3.1 Hydraulic Gradient and Direction of Groundwater Flow .....	3
3.2 Groundwater Field Parameters .....	3
3.3 Groundwater Analytical Results .....	3
3.3.1 Abatement Plan Monitoring Well Analytical Results .....	3
3.3.2 Abatement Plan and Discharge Plan Analytical Results by Area.....	4
3.3.3 Irrigation/Supply Well Results .....	6
4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	7
5.0 REFERENCES .....	8

## **LIST OF TABLES**

(All Tables Provided Electronically via CD)

Table 1	Summary of Monitoring Well Fluid Gauging Data
Table 2	Summary of Monitoring Well Groundwater Field Parameters
Table 3	Summary of Sample Analytical Methods and Sampling Requirements
Table 4	Abatement Plan Monitoring Well Groundwater Analytical Results
Table 5	Discharge Plan Monitoring Well Groundwater Analytical Results
Table 6	Irrigation/Supply Well Groundwater Analytical Results

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Potentiometric Surface Map, May 2024, Northern Portion
Figure 3	Potentiometric Surface Map, May 2024, Central Portion
Figure 4	Potentiometric Surface Map, May 2024, Southern Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 5	Potentiometric Surface Map, May 2024, Southern Portion, Perched Aquifer
Figure 6	Groundwater Analytical Results, May/June 2024, Northern Portion
Figure 7	Groundwater Analytical Results, May/June 2024, Central Portion
Figure 8	Groundwater Analytical Results, May/June 2024, Southern Portion, Regional Aquifer
Figure 9	Groundwater Analytical Results, May/June 2024, Southern Portion, Perched Aquifer

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Del Oro Dairy Pump and Reuse System Performance Assessment
Appendix B	Gauging and Sampling Field Forms (Provided Electronically via CD)
Appendix C	Analytical Laboratory Reports (Provided Electronically via CD)
Appendix D	Hydrographs by Area
Appendix E	Field Parameter Trends by Area
Appendix F	Concentration Trends by Area – Abatement Plan Wells

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of Doña Ana Dairies (Dairies), EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC (EA) has prepared this Quarterly Monitoring Report for Doña Ana Dairies located south of Las Cruces, New Mexico (Figure 1). The report was completed in accordance with the *Stage 2 Abatement Plan* and the *Sampling and Analysis Plan, Doña Ana Dairies, Doña Ana County, New Mexico* dated November 7, 2013, and August 11, 2008, respectively, and the *Conceptual Work Plan (CWP)* dated February 1, 2008. All were prepared to satisfy requirements stated in the New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 2, Sections 4106 through 4110 (20.6.2.4106 – 20.6.2.4110 NMAC). The Sampling and Analysis Plan was approved by the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Ground Water Quality Bureau (GWQB) on September 25, 2008 (NMED 2008). On March 25, 2015, the stipulated agreement to additional requirements to the Dona Ana Dairies Stage 2 Abatement Plan was agreed upon by NMED, the Dairies, and the Rio Valle Concerned Citizens (NMED, Doña Ana Dairies, and Rio Valle Concerned Citizens 2015). The Stage 2 Abatement Plan was approved by NMED by Final Order on April 10, 2015. A Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification was approved by NMED on April 26, 2022 (NMED 2022). Full document references are provided in Section 5.0.

### 1.1 Objective and Monitoring Scope

The objectives of this monitoring program are to satisfy the requirements set forth in the Stage 2 Abatement Plan and the Stipulated Agreement and to satisfy the requirements set forth in 20.6.2.4110 NMAC.

The following work was performed to meet the objectives of the monitoring program:

- Representatives from D&H Petroleum and Environmental Services, Inc. (D&H) gauged discharge plan (DP) monitoring wells, abatement plan (AP) monitoring wells, and Anthony Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) wells from May 7, 2024 through May 10, 2024. Organ Dairy wells were gauged by Glorieta Geosciences, Inc. (Glorieta) on May 9, 2024.
- From May 13, 2024, through June 14, 2024, D&H representatives collected groundwater samples from all AP and DP wells that contained sufficient water. Select irrigation and supply wells were also sampled on June 19, 2024. Glorieta sampled Organ Dairy wells on May 9, 2024. The samples were analyzed for nitrate (as nitrogen), chloride, total dissolved solids (TDS), and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN). Field parameters including specific conductance, pH, temperature, oxidation reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved oxygen were monitored and recorded on field forms during sampling.

Additionally, a performance assessment was performed on the Del Oro pump and reuse system in compliance with the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan for Dona Ana Dairies (EA 2022). The performance assessment is provided in Appendix A.

## 1.2 Background

In correspondence dated April 7, 2006, NMED required a Stage 1 Abatement Plan for 13 dairies in Doña Ana County, based on analytical results from DP monitoring of on-site compliance monitoring wells that showed concentrations of nitrate, chloride and TDS exceeding ground water standards promulgated in New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) Regulations (20.6.2.3103 NMAC). The 13 dairies were:

1. Organ Dairy (Former Daybreak and Del Norte Dairy)
2. Mountain View Dairy
3. Buena Vista I Dairy
4. Bright Star Dairy
5. Dominguez 2 (Former D&J Dairy)
6. Dominguez Dairy
7. Gonzales Dairy
8. Buena Vista Dairy I and II
9. River Valley Dairy
10. Big Sky Dairy
11. Sunset Dairy
12. Desert Land Dairy
13. Del Oro Dairy

On October 30, 2006, the 13 dairies notified NMED that they had reached an agreement to work as a group and submit a joint response to NMED's request (Doña Ana Dairies, 2006). Currently the Doña Ana Dairies (DAD) consortium consists of 9 dairies with the departure from the group by Buena Vista I Dairy in 2011, River Valley Dairy in April 2019, and Gonzalez Dairy in October 2020. Buena Vista II, Dominguez, and Dominguez 2 left the consortium in May 2024.

The current DAD consortium is organized geographically into the northern area, central area and southern area. The northern area currently consists of Organ Dairy, Mountain View Dairy, Bright Star Dairy, Dominguez 2 Dairy, and Dominguez Dairy. The northern land application is also included in the northern area of DAD. Buena Vista Dairy and Gonzalez Dairy, though no longer members of the DAD consortium, are located within the northern area. The central area consists of Buena Vista Dairy II, Big Sky Dairy, and Sunset Dairy/Desert Land Dairy. Though no longer a member of the DAD consortium, River Valley Dairy is also located in the central area. The southern area includes only the Del Oro Dairy.

On December 11, 2006, on behalf of the Doña Ana Dairies, Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) submitted a Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plan Proposal to address impacts to groundwater in the area containing the Dairies (Golder 2006)

The first major deliverable in the Abatement Plan Proposal was an Existing Data Report (EDR), created to combine all existing and historical data and practices of the constituent dairies. The EDR, submitted on February 1, 2008 (Golder 2008a), was intended to satisfy the DAD consortiums' commitment for compilation and submission of existing data identified in the Doña Ana Dairies response (Golder 2006) to the NMED requirement for Stage 1 Abatement Plans. Section 9 of the EDR outlined data gaps identified during the preparation of the report, as well as the actions recommended. To facilitate the discussion of the path forward after the submittal of the EDR and concurrent with the EDR submission, a conceptual work plan (CWP) was prepared (Golder 2008b).

A meeting was held on July 15, 2008 between the DAD consortium, Golder and NMED. During that meeting, plume maps presented in the EDR (Golder 2008a), new monitoring data, and knowledge of monitoring well locations and groundwater chemistry results at adjacent DP-regulated facilities were used to identify data gaps with respect to ground water flow direction and plume delineation. The agreed upon data gaps yielded monitoring well locations (including contingency monitoring well locations) recorded in the meeting minutes (Golder 2008c) and depicted in the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) dated August 8, 2008 (Golder 2008d). The SAP outlined the details of the field operations to be implemented for completion of data gaps, such that a Site Investigation Report (§4106.C.6 NMAC) and Stage 2 Abatement Plan (§4106.D NMAC) could be prepared.

Between February 2008 and December 2008, quarterly groundwater gauging was conducted concurrent to discussions with NMED at the DAD consortium to determine the current and historical site groundwater gradient.

In May 2009, field work was conducted as outlined in the SAP and ten AP monitoring wells (DAD-01 through DAD-10) were installed. In July 2009, the Site Investigation Report was submitted to the NMED (EA 2009).

On February 9, 2012, the Final Site Investigation Report was submitted to NMED (EA 2012a). The report summarized field activities that occurred from October 10 through October 14, 2011, and November 10 through 18, 2011, during which eleven soil borings were advanced at the site and converted into monitoring wells DAD-12 through DAD-14, DAD-16 through DAD-22, and DP well 177-03A.

On August 16, 2012, soil boring/monitoring well DAD-15 was installed and on August 20, 2012, well DAD-15 was sampled. An addendum to the Final Site Investigation Report was submitted to NMED on September 9, 2012 (EA 2012b), which summarized DAD-15 field activities.

A Stage 2 Abatement Plan was submitted to NMED on March 13, 2013 (EA 2013a). Based on an NMED response in August 2013, a Revision to the Stage 2 Abatement Plan was submitted on November 7, 2013 (EA 2013b).

On March 25, 2015, the stipulated agreement to additional requirements to the Doña Ana Dairies Stage 2 Abatement Plan was agreed to by NMED Doña Ana Dairies, and the Rio Valle Concerned Citizens. On April 10, 2015, the Stage 2 Abatement Plan with the stipulated agreement was approved by NMED by Final Order (NMED 2015).

EA began implementation of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan and stipulated agreement as directed by the Final Order in December 2015. To meet objectives, four monitoring wells were installed (DAD-23 through DAD-26) and Del Oro Dairy discharge plan (DP) well 692-01 was plugged and abandoned. Details on implementation of these tasks are included *Stage 2 Implementation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report* dated July 2016 (EA 2016).

In accordance with the approved Stage 2 Abatement Plan and stipulated agreement, a baseline compound specific isotope analysis for nitrogen 14 and nitrogen 15 ( $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$  [ $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ]) and total organic carbon (TOC) was completed for 16 monitoring wells in spring of 2016. Additionally,



existing conditions concentrations were recalculated for the contaminants of concern. Results of these analyses are presented in the *Stage 2 Implementation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report* dated July 2016 (EA 2016). A five-year review containing results of repeated compound specific isotope analysis sampling and recalculated existing conditions concentrations was submitted to NMED in December 2020 (EA 2020a).

Contaminant concentration trend analysis as well as geospatial analysis to evaluate changes in plume behavior are required on an annual basis and are provided in the annual report. Additionally, the results of the annual sampling of irrigation and supply wells and concentration trends of analytes in AP and DP wells are provided in the annual report.

A Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted to NMED on August 10, 2018, to address plume instability in the perched aquifer nitrate plume at Del Oro Dairy. Following discussions with NMED, a revised Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted on May 1, 2019. A public meeting to discuss the plan was held in Anthony, New Mexico on May 17, 2019. The Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was revised based on additional input from NMED and the public and submitted on July 26, 2019 (EA 2019). Public notice for the proposal was initiated on October 23, 2019, and closed on December 31, 2019. An addendum to the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted on July 13, 2020 (EA 2020b). A revised addendum to the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification proposal was submitted on July 13, 2021, based on additional comments from the public (EA 2021). An additional virtual townhall meeting was held on December 15, 2021, that presented the current proposal. The performance plan was submitted to NMED on February 15, 2022 (EA 2022). NMED approved the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification for Doña Ana Dairies (EA 2019), the accompanying Stage 2 Abatement Plan Addendum for Reuse of Pumped Groundwater at Del Oro Dairy (EA 2021), and the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan (EA 2022) on April 26, 2022 (NMED 2022). Implementation is detailed in the Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Completion Report (EA 2023). The quarterly performance assessment of the Del Oro Dairy pump and reuse system, as required by Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan (EA 2022) is provided in Appendix A.

## 2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES

Groundwater monitoring activities included gauging AP monitoring wells, DP monitoring wells for dairies that are a part of the DAD consortium, and the Anthony WWTP monitoring wells. Groundwater samples were collected from AP monitoring wells and DP monitoring wells for dairies that are a part of the DAD consortium (Section 1.2). Groundwater samples were analyzed for nitrate, chloride, TDS, and TKN. The resulting data from this groundwater monitoring event are compiled and presented below.

### 2.1 Monitoring Well Gauging

From May 7, 2024, through May 10, 2024, representatives from D&H gauged DP monitoring wells, AP monitoring wells, and Anthony WWTP wells with an electronic water level indicator. Organ Dairy wells were gauged by Glorieta on May 10, 2024. Table 1 provides a summary of the groundwater gauging data collected from the monitoring network. Data obtained during gauging are shown on potentiometric surface maps included as Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5. Well gauging field forms are available in Appendix B.

### 2.2 Groundwater Sampling

D&H collected groundwater samples from all AP monitoring wells with sufficient water from June 6, 2024 through June 14, 2024. Groundwater sampling from AP wells was accomplished with new, disposable bailers and twine. Three well casing volumes were purged unless the well contained insufficient water.

D&H collected groundwater from the DP wells from May 13, 2024 through June 6, 2024. Glorieta collected groundwater from Organ Dairy DP wells on May 9, 2024. Prior to sampling, all DP wells were purged of three well casing volumes, if practicable, by (1) hand-bailing with new, disposable bailers and twine, (2) pumping with a submersible pump and new polyethylene tubing, or (3) pumping with a dedicated pump and new polyethylene tubing. Several DP wells could not be sampled. Organ Dairy wells 126-04 and 126-05 contained insufficient water and was not sampled. Organ Dairy well 126-09 could not be accessed but has historically had insufficient water for sampling. Organ Dairy well 126-07, Bright Star well 340-02, and perched Del Oro Dairy well 692-04 were dry. Sunset/Desert Land Dairy well 257-03 was not sampled due to an unknown blockage in the well.

The wells were sampled from historically clean to dirty to the extent possible to minimize cross-contamination potential. All non-dedicated or disposable equipment was decontaminated between wells with an Alconox™ solution to further ensure sample quality. All meters were calibrated and/or checked with standards in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications prior to daily use. Purge water was ground discharged.

When sufficient water was available, field parameters including specific conductance, temperature, pH, ORP, and dissolved oxygen were monitored using a water quality meter and data were recorded on field forms. Dissolved oxygen and ORP were only measured in the first set of readings. Field parameters from August 2015 to present are summarized in Table 2. The sampling field forms are presented in Appendix B.

All groundwater samples were collected immediately after purging. Sampling was either accomplished by carefully pouring groundwater from the bailer into the sample containers or by pumping groundwater through new polyethylene tubing into the sample container. Sample containers were provided by Eurofins Environment Testing South Central, LLC (Eurofins). Container size, type, sample preservatives, analytical methods, and holding times are specified in Table 3. All samples were preserved in accordance with method requirements, labeled, then immediately cooled to <6°C with ice and delivered under chain-of-custody to Eurofins in Albuquerque, New Mexico. All analytical laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C.

### **2.3 Irrigation/Supply Well Groundwater Sampling**

Chloride and TDS were analyzed in samples collected from Mountain View Dairy well LRG-457, Sunset Dairy well LRG-3348-AS, and Desert Land Dairy well LRG-3348-B this quarter. These irrigation/supply wells were sampled this quarter because chloride and TDS were mistakenly omitted from the analyte list during sampling for the annual report. Irrigation/supply wells were sampled by collecting a grab aliquot from a faucet or tank located nearest to the pump outlet. Tap samples were collected while the pumps were running: as a result no purging was completed.

### **3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS**

#### **3.1 Hydraulic Gradient and Direction of Groundwater Flow**

During the past quarter, groundwater was present beneath the site at depths ranging from 14.72 feet below top-of-casing (ft btoc) in Sunset well 257-03 to 137.63 ft btoc in Dominguez 2 well 42-12. Groundwater was encountered at shallower depths near the Mesquite Drain and at greater depths near I-10 where the topographic elevation increases.

AP monitoring well DAD-25 may have been completed in a perched aquifer, as groundwater elevations have consistently measured several feet higher than groundwater elevations in surrounding wells. As a result, groundwater elevation data from this well is not used in contouring for the central area potentiometric surface map.

Potentiometric surface maps of groundwater elevation were completed using monitoring well gauging data for the northern, central, and southern areas (perched and regional aquifers) of the Dairies. Groundwater elevation data are provided in Table 1 and potentiometric surface maps are provided as Figures 2 through 5. Hydrographs were completed for select monitoring wells in each area and are provided in Appendix D. On average, regional aquifer groundwater elevations fell in the northern (0.20 feet), central (0.25 feet), and southern areas (0.17 feet). In the southern perched aquifer, groundwater elevations decreased by an average of 0.06 feet.

During the most recent gauging event, groundwater flow direction of the regional aquifer was to the southeast. Flow direction in the southern perched aquifer was to the south.

The hydraulic gradient across the Dairies in the regional aquifer is 0.001 ft/ft. The hydraulic gradient in the perched aquifer in the southern area was approximately 0.004 ft/ft.

#### **3.2 Groundwater Field Parameters**

Field parameters from the most recent monitoring event (specific conductance, pH, temperature, ORP, and dissolved oxygen) were recorded on the sampling field forms provided in Appendix B and are summarized in Table 2. Specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, and ORP trends for select wells are presented in Appendix E. Though dissolved oxygen and ORP measurements from wells containing a dedicated pump were recorded, these measurements are not considered representative of aquifer conditions. As noted in Section 2.2, dissolved oxygen and ORP are only recorded in the first set of readings. This is because hand bailing agitates the aquifer and the ORP and dissolved oxygen measurements are not considered representative once agitation begins.

#### **3.3 Groundwater Analytical Results**

##### *3.3.1 Abatement Plan Monitoring Well Analytical Results*

Groundwater analyte concentrations were below the 10 milligrams per liter (mg/L) NMWQCC standard for nitrate as nitrogen in 9 of the 26 AP monitoring wells sampled. Groundwater from AP monitoring well DAD-20 has not been collected since September 2023 due to well damage. The well is located on Anthony Waste Water Treatment Plant property and was damaged along with the protective bollards around it prior to the December 2023 sampling event.

Groundwater collected from the following 17 AP wells had nitrate concentrations at or above the standard: DAD-01, DAD-06R, DAD-07, DAD-08, DAD-09, DAD-11 (vertical delineation well), DAD-12 (vertical delineation well), DAD-13, DAD-14, DAD-15, DAD-18, DAD-19 (vertical delineation well), DAD-21, DAD-22, DAD-23, DAD-26, and DAD-27. Nitrate exceeded the standard for the first time in DAD-06R and DAD-27 with concentrations of 11 mg/L in samples from both wells.

Nitrate concentrations decreased or were the same in groundwater collected from AP wells DAD-01, DAD-02, DAD-03, DAD-05, DAD-07, DAD-08, DAD-09, DAD-10, DAD-13, DAD-14, DAD-15, DAD-16, DAD-17, and DAD-26 compared to the previous sampling event. The largest decrease in nitrate concentration was observed in well DAD-26, which decreased from 24 mg/L in March 2024 to 12 mg/L in June 2024. The largest nitrate concentration increase was observed in groundwater collected from well DAD-21, where concentrations increased from 16 mg/L in March 2024 to 39 mg/L in June 2024. During this sampling event, nitrate concentrations in groundwater collected from AP wells ranged from 74 mg/L in well DAD-14 to below the laboratory RL of 1.0 mg/L or 2.0 mg/L in wells DAD-03, DAD-05, DAD-10, DAD-16, and DAD-17. The nitrate concentrations in DAD-07 and DAD-14 may be on a downward trend. Nitrate concentrations in well DAD-11 (vertical delineation well) and DAD-25 continues to increase.

Both chloride and TDS concentrations equaled or exceeded their respective NMWQCC standards in most AP wells. Exceptions include central area wells DAD-06R and DAD-17, where both chloride and TDS groundwater concentrations were below the 250 mg/L and 1,000 mg/L standards, respectively. The highest chloride and TDS concentrations in the AP wells were in well DAD-08, at 1,600 mg/L and 4,900 mg/L, respectively.

Table 4 and Figures 6 through 9 present the analytical results for AP monitoring wells. Analytical laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C. Nitrate, chloride, and TDS concentration trends for select AP wells are presented by area in Appendix F.

### *3.3.2 Abatement Plan and Discharge Plan Analytical Results by Area*

DP groundwater analytical results are presented in Table 5. Nitrate, chloride, and TDS concentration trends for the AP wells by area are presented in Appendix F. Analytical data for all sampled wells are plotted on Figures 6 through 9. Analytical laboratory reports are included in Appendix C. Discussions of upgradient/downgradient conditions in the following section are based on groundwater flow directions presented in Section 3.1. The following discussions summarize the results by area at the Dairies.

#### Northern Portion

Groundwater collected from upgradient well 86/340-01 (located north of the abatement area) has been below the nitrate NMWQCC standard of 10 mg/L since February 2018; historically, groundwater concentrations in this well were consistently above the nitrate standard. Northern Land Application Area well 70/86/340-01, located at the northern-most boundary of the abatement area, contained groundwater above the nitrate standard at a concentration of 25 mg/L. Organ Dairy well 126-12 (4.8 mg/L) and Dominguez Dairy 624-02 (7.7 mg/L) delineate the

western edge of the nitrate plume. The nitrate plume has been defined to the east by Dominguez Dairy well 42-11 (<1.0 mg/L), AP well DAD-01 (11 mg/L), and AP well DAD-13 (13 mg/L). However, during recent sampling events, nitrate concentrations have been just above the standard in AP wells DAD-01 and DAD-13. The highest nitrate concentration in the northern portion was observed in DAD-14 with a concentration of 74 mg/L.

The chloride and TDS concentrations in DP wells were at or above their standards in all wells sampled within the northern portion of the Dairies. The highest concentrations of chloride and TDS were observed in Northern Land Application area well 70/86/340-01 at concentrations of 1,800 mg/L and 6,000 mg/L, respectively.

### Central Portion

The northern extent of the central portion nitrate plume is defined by Buena Vista Dairy II well 74-03 where nitrate concentration was not detected above the laboratory RL. The southern extent is defined by Las Cruces Community Farms (formerly McAnally Enterprises) well MW-4, where nitrate was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit. The eastern edge of the nitrate plume is currently delineated by AP well DAD-06R at a concentration of 11 mg/L. This concentration is just over the standard. Historically, the eastern extent of the plume was defined by wells DAD-07 and DAD-15. In the most recent sampling event, nitrate concentrations in groundwater collected from these wells exceeded the standard with concentrations of 49 mg/L and 21 mg/L, respectively. The western extent is defined by Buena Vista Dairy well 74-02 (7.5 mg/L) and AP wells DAD-16 (<1.0) and DAD-05 (<2.0). The highest nitrate concentration in the central portion was 100 mg/L, observed in Big Sky Dairy well 833-07.

Chloride and TDS concentrations were generally at or above standards in wells within the central portion of the Dairies. Chloride was below the standard in Buena Vista Dairy II well 74-03 (230 mg/L) and in AP wells DAD-06R (93 mg/L) and DAD-17 (130 mg/L). TDS was below the standard in AP wells DAD-06R (680 mg/L) and DAD-17 (750 mg/L). The highest chloride concentration was observed at Las Cruces Community Farms MW-4 at 1,700 mg/L. The highest TDS concentration of 4,900 mg/L was detected at AP well DAD-08.

### Southern Portion – Regional Aquifer

Wells completed in the regional aquifer in the southern portion of the dairies include AP well DAD-10 and Del Oro wells 692-05 through 692-10 (Figure 8). All of the groundwater collected from wells in the regional aquifer contained nitrate below the NMWQCC standard except for Del Oro well 692-05 (15 mg/L).

Chloride concentrations were detected above the NMWQCC standard and ranged from 390 mg/L in AP well DAD-10 and Del Oro well 692-09 to 650 mg/L in Del Oro Dairy well 692-10. TDS concentrations ranged from 1,300 mg/L in DP well 692-08 to 1,900 mg/L in Del Oro Dairy well 692-10.

### Southern Portion – Perched Aquifer

Wells completed in the perched aquifer in the southern portion that are sampled on a quarterly basis by DAD include wells 692-02, 629-04 (dry), DAD-09, DAD-21, DAD-22, DAD-26, and

DAD-27 (Figure 9). Groundwater nitrate concentrations were above the standard in all monitoring wells in the perched aquifer. This sampling event is the first time the nitrate concentration in DAD-27 exceeded the standard (11 mg/L). The highest nitrate concentration was detected at AP well DAD-09 (49 mg/L). Groundwater collected from downgradient AP wells DAD-22 and DAD-26 contained nitrate concentrations of 19 mg/L and 12 mg/L, respectively. The edge of the nitrate plume is delineated to the southwest by AP well DAD-27, which is just over the standard with a concentration of 11 mg/L.

Chloride concentrations in the perched aquifer monitoring wells ranged from 450 mg/L in Del Oro well 692-02 to 820 mg/L in AP well DAD-22. TDS in the perched aquifer ranged from 1,900 mg/L in AP well DAD-26 and Del Oro well 692-02 to 2,800 mg/L in AP well DAD-21.

There are multiple influences on the analyte concentrations at Del Oro Dairy. A pump and reuse system became operational in April 2023 and is currently running. The performance assessment of the system is provided in Appendix A. Also influencing analyte concentrations is the rebound of analyte concentrations after a suspected municipal water line leak. The suspected leak was located at the southwest corner of the Del Oro Dairy. Based on groundwater elevation and groundwater concentration data, it is likely the water line started to leak before May 2019. Data suggest that the suspected water line leak may have been repaired during the winter of 2020/2021. Concentrations of analytes decreased with the introduction of municipal water to the perched aquifer, and concentrations increased after the suspected repair. Concentrations were still increasing when the pump and reuse system became operational.

### *3.3.3 Irrigation/Supply Well Results*

Groundwater analytical results for the sampled irrigation/supply wells are presented in Table 6. Analytical results are included in Figures 6, 7, and 8. Analytical laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C.

Chloride and TDS were above their applicable standards in all three sampled irrigation/supply wells. Chloride concentrations ranged from 340 mg/L in Desert Land well LRG-3348-B to 920 mg/L in Mountain View Dairy well LRG-457. TDS concentrations ranged from 1,300 mg/L in Desert Land well LRG-3348-B to 3,000 mg/L in Mountain View Dairy well LRG-457.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This groundwater monitoring event included the gauging of all DP and AP wells and sampling of all wells that contained sufficient water. Based on the data collected, the following conclusions and recommendations are presented:

- Depth to groundwater ranged from 14.72 feet below top-of-casing (ft btoc) in Sunset well 257-03 to 137.63 ft btoc in Dominguez 2 well 42-12.
- On average, regional aquifer groundwater elevations fell in the northern (0.20 feet), central (0.25 feet), and southern (0.17 feet) areas. In the southern perched aquifer, groundwater elevations decreased by an average of 0.06 feet.
- During the most recent gauging event, groundwater flow direction of the regional aquifer was to the southeast. Flow direction in the southern perched aquifer was to the south.
- The hydraulic gradient across the Dairies in the regional aquifer is 0.001 ft/ft. The hydraulic gradient in the perched aquifer in the southern area was approximately 0.004 ft/ft.
- Nitrate concentrations were below the NMWQCC standard of 10 mg/L in 9 of the 26 groundwater samples collected from the AP wells.
- Chloride and TDS generally remain at or above standards in regional aquifer wells upgradient of the northern, central, and southern portions at the Dairies.
- Chloride and TDS were analyzed in samples collected from Mountain View Dairy well LRG-457, Sunset Dairy well LRG-3348-AS, and Desert Land Dairy well LRG-3348-B this quarter. These irrigation/supply wells were sampled this quarter because chloride and TDS were mistakenly omitted from the analyte list during sampling for the annual report.



## 5.0 REFERENCES

- Doña Ana Dairies. 2006. Letter Regarding Agreement for Joint Stage 1 and Stage 2 Abatement Plan and Storm Water and Wastewater Pond Upgrades. Letter from Mr. Michael Weatherly, Chairman, Doña Ana Dairies, to Mr. William Olson, Chief, Ground Water Quality Bureau. 30 October.
- EA. 2009. *Site Investigation Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. 16 July 16.
- EA. 2012a. *Final Site Investigation Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. February 9.
- EA. 2012b. *Final Site Investigation Report Addendum, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. September 9.
- EA. 2013a. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. March 13.
- EA. 2013b. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan, Revision 01, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. November 7.
- EA. 2016. *Stage 2 Implementation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. July 26.
- EA. 2019. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Proposal and DAD-06 Monitoring Well Rehabilitation for Doña Ana Dairies, Doña Ana County, New Mexico, Revision 02*. July 26.
- EA. 2020a. *Five-Year Evaluation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. December 20.
- EA. 2020b. *S2AP Addendum for Reuse of Pumped Groundwater, Revision 01, Del Oro Dairy, Anthony, New Mexico*. July 15.
- EA. 2021. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Addendum for Reuse of Pumped Groundwater, Revision 02, Del Oro Dairy, Anthony, New Mexico*. July.
- EA. 2022. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Performance Plan for Doña Ana Dairies*. February 15.
- EA. 2023. *Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Completion Report for Doña Ana Dairies (Del Oro Dairy), Anthony, New Mexico*. May 16.
- Golder Associates, Inc. (Golder). 2006. *Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plan Proposal, prepared for New Mexico Environment Department, Remediation Oversight Section, on behalf of Doña Ana Dairies*. December 11.
- Golder. 2008a. *Existing Data Report and Conceptual Work Plan, Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico*. February 1.

- Golder. 2008b. Conceptual Work Plan. Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico. February 1.
- Golder. 2008c. Notes for the Meeting Regarding New Monitoring Well Installation. Meeting Participants: Doña Ana Dairy representative, DAD technical representatives, and NMED staff. July 28.
- Golder. 2008d. Sampling and Analysis Plan. Doña Ana Dairies, Mesquite, New Mexico. August 11.
- New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). 2008. Approval of Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Doña Ana Dairies, Stage 1 Abatement Plan, Doña Ana County, New Mexico. September 25.
- NMED. 2015. Approval of Stage 2 Abatement Plan Proposal for Doña Ana Dairies. Final Order from Mr. Ryan Flynn, Secretary, Ground Water Quality Bureau. April 10.
- NMED. 2022. Stage 2 Abatement Plan Modification Approval for Dona Ana Dairies. April 26.
- NMED, Doña Ana Dairies, and Rio Valle Concerned Citizens. 2015. Stipulated Agreement, Dona Ana Dairies Stage 2 Abatement Plan. March 25.