

Title: Evaluation criteria spreadsheet. Version 3

Created by Hillary Browning, Stratus Consulting 7/19/05

The potential projects that meet the acceptability criteria have been carried forward to be evaluated and ranked according to the criteria in this worksheet.

The criteria have been divided into three categories: relevance to the NRDA; degree of benefit; and feasibility and cost criteria.

Scoring of the projects takes place on the spreadsheets named "relevance to NRDA" and "degree of benefit". Projects are evaluated or "scored" on a scale of 1 to 5 on each criterion, with a value of 1 representing poor performance and a value of 5 indicating excellent performance. Guidelines are proposed for assigning numerical values to each criterion. The guidelines were presented in the "Potential Project Screening and Evaluation" memorandum dated July 8, 2005. To facilitate scoring, the guidelines for each criterion can also be viewed in these spreadsheets by mousing over the column heading of each criterion (look for the red marks).

The spreadsheets also incorporate weighting based on the priority of each criterion into the score calculation. Criteria can be specified as higher or lower priority by entering a "+" or "-" in the the gray shaded cell below each criterion column heading, or the cell can be left blank to indicate a neutral designation of priority.

The column labeled "total category score" automatically calculates a numerical score based on the the inputs described above.

Numerical scores both within and across categories are calculated. The calculation of the final score (based on both criteria categories), which is calculated on the "summary scores" spreadsheet, allows for weighting of the categories themselves.

The "summary scores" and "project ranking" worksheets do not require input, they summarize results from the other sheets.

Initial evaluation of scoring guidelines, individual project scores, and weighting of criteria was accomplished by the Molycorp Trustees on meetings on July 26, 2005 and August 8, 2005. Cost criteria were evaluated in a preliminary fashion to reflect the lack of specific costing data available during the meetings. These scores are subject to revision as more project-specific information is developed.

Instructions: Enter the value 1 - 5 (where 1 is low and 5 is high) for each evaluation category for each project in the yellow highlighted cells. Include any notes, comments, or questions in the pink highlighted cells

Additional information on the meaning of 1 - 5 for each category is included as comments in this worksheet, which can be seen if your mouse is moved over the category headings that have red triangles in the corner

Project	Location in, or nearby, the Red River watershed	Strong nexus to injured resources	Provision of benefits to multiple natural resource categories, or to multiple resources within a category	Total category score	Comments	
	+	+				
Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation	5	3	5	55	pond would benefit surface water as well as groundwater because of recharge	
Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements	5	3	4	52		
Potato Patch Spring habitat creation	5	3	4	52		
Goathill Pond habitat creation	5	3	4	52		
Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation	5	5	4	62		
Hunts pond improvements	5	1	2	36		weak nexus because there is no real benefit to fish or injured resources (pond is too small for trout)
Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area	3	3	4	42		
Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout	5	5	2	56		
Lower Cabresto water augmentation	5	5	5	65		
Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation	5	3	4	52		
Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River	5	5	3	59		
State fish hatchery fish ladder construction	5	5	2	56		
Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal	5	5	2	56		
Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements	5	5	3	59		
Mainstem Red River Embeddedness Treatment/Study	5	5	2	56		
Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement	3	5	4	52		
McCrystal creek headgate	3	5	4	52		
Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier	3	5	2	46		
Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek	3	5	2	46		
Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek	3	5	3	49		
Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station	5	5	3	59		
Obliterate road and return to natural contours – Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek	3	5	3	49		
Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed	5	5	3	59		
General Road improvements in the watershed	5	5	3	59		
Rio Grande box recreational facilities development	1	1	3	19		
Cebolla Mesa trail improvement	1	1	3	19		
Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site	1	5	4	42	strong nexus to wildlife resources that would use injured terrestrial and aquatic habitat	
Improve winter range for bighorn sheep	5	5	3	59	strong nexus to wildlife resources that would use injured terrestrial	
Land acquisition of LaBelle property	3	3	3	39	question of whether LaBelle would really provide any net environmental benefits	
Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River	5	5	4	62	adjacent to aquatic so would benefit both terrestrial and aquatic	
Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)	5	5	4	62		

Instructions: Enter the value 1 - 5 (where 1 is low and 5 is high) for each evaluation category for each project in the yellow highlighted cells. Include any notes, comments, or questions in the pink highlighted cells

Additional information on the meaning of 1 - 5 for each category is included as comments in this worksheet, which can be seen if your mouse is moved over the category headings that have red triangles in the corner

Project	Location in, or nearby, the Red River watershed	Strong nexus to injured resources	Provision of benefits to multiple natural resource categories, or to multiple resources within a category	Total category score	Comments
	+	+			
Village of Questa water distribution improvement	5	5	5	1	53
Development of Water Conservation Programs	5	5	5	1	53
Village of Questa WWTP upgrade	5	5	5	4	62
Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining	5	5	5	1	53
Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal	3	3	3	1	33
Public education about beavers and restoration	5	5	1	1	33

Project Name

Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation

Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements

Potato Patch Spring habitat creation

Goathill Pond habitat creation

Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation

Hunts pond improvements

Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area

Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout

Lower Cabresto water augmentation

Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation

Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River

State fish hatchery fish ladder construction

Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal

Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements

Mainstem Red River Embeddedness

Treatment/Study

Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement

McCrystal creek headgate

Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier

Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek

Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek

Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station

Obliterate road and return to natural contours –

Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek

Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed

General Road improvements in the watershed

Rio Grande box recreational facilities development

Cebolla Mesa trail improvement

Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site

Improve winter range for bighorn sheep

Land acquisition of LaBelle property

Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River

Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)

Village of Questa water distribution improvement

Development of Water Conservation Programs

Village of Questa WWTP upgrade

Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining

Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal

Public education about beavers and restoration
10_evaluation.criteria.V3.format.xls

acceptable projects list

Instructions: Enter the value 1 - 5 (where 1 is low and 5 is high) for each evaluation category for each project in the yellow highlighted cells. Include any notes, comments, or questions in the pink highlighted cells

Additional information on the meaning of 1 - 5 for each category is included as comments in this worksheet, which can be seen if your mouse is moved over the category headings that have red triangles in the corner

Project	Acceptability to the public	Scalability: project has quantifiable benefits and can be "scaled" to offset a certain amount of resource injury or service loss	Provision of benefits rapidly after project implementation	Project Longevity	Implementation timeframe	Total category score	Comments
	+			+			
Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation	5	3	5	4	4	81	acceptability to the public would depend on public access. Would there be full, limited, or no access? Access may depend on whether the project is ultimately done for cutthroats. Scalability is limited by topographical limitations of ponds
Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements	5	3	4	4	4	78	
Potato Patch Spring habitat creation	5	3	3	4	4	75	Compare time to reach benefits between Potato Patch, Goathill, and Upper Cabresto? Should they all have the same score?
Goathill Pond habitat creation	5	3	3	4	4	75	
Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation	5	3	4	4	4	78	provision of benefits difficult to obtain so time to full benefits >10 years
Hunts pond improvements	5	1	1	2	4	53	
Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area	5	5	4	4	3	81	potential for some public opposition, depending on particulars. Provision of benefits 3-5 years because of groundwater recharge that will take place before streamflow
Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout	3	3	5	3	3	63	
Lower Cabresto water augmentation	3	3	4	5	3	70	limited public access. Chance of high project longevity is high due to the town of RR's vested interest in maintaining environmental and recreational projects for tourism purposes.
Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation	3	3	4	3	4	63	
Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River	5	3	5	4	4	81	for Valle Vidal projects: public support dampened by location outside of watershed? Or enough public use from Questa and RR to garner support?
State fish hatchery fish ladder construction	3	3	5	4	4	71	
Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal	3	3	5	5	4	76	George says this is high-profile issue --public wants more control over ATVs
Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements	3	5	5	3	4	72	
Mainstem Red River Embeddedness Treatment/Study	3	1	5	1	4	50	George says this is high-profile issue --public wants more control over ATVs
Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement	3	5	2	3	4	63	
McCrystal creek headgate	3	3	5	4	4	71	George says this is high-profile issue --public wants more control over ATVs
Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier	3	3	5	3	5	69	
Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek	3	5	5	5	3	79	George says this is high-profile issue --public wants more control over ATVs
Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek	3	1	5	2	4	55	
Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station	3	1	5	3	4	60	George says this is high-profile issue --public wants more control over ATVs
Obliterate road and return to natural contours -- Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek	3	1	5	5	4	70	
Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed	5	1	5	2	4	65	George says this is high-profile issue --public wants more control over ATVs
General Road improvements in the watershed	3	1	5	2	4	55	
Rio Grande box recreational facilities development	5	1	5	3	4	70	

Instructions: Enter the value 1 - 5 (where 1 is low and 5 is high) for each evaluation category for each project in the yellow highlighted cells. Include any notes, comments, or questions in the pink highlighted cells

Additional information on the meaning of 1 - 5 for each category is included as comments in this worksheet, which can be seen if your mouse is moved over the category headings that have red triangles in the corner

Project	+	Scalability: project has quantifiable benefits and can be "scaled" to offset a certain amount of resource injury or service loss	Provision of benefits rapidly after project implementation	+	Project Longevity	Implementation timeframe	Total category score	Comments
	Acceptability to the public							
Cebolla Mesa trail improvement	5	1	5	2	4	65	public acceptance rating based on assumed potential for conservation easement or public ownership and some degree of public access George says public is interested and excited about big horn sheep populations. Benefits from burns last about 10-20 years then need to be repeated to avoid conifer encroachment	
Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site	5	3	5	5	4	86		
Improve winter range for bighorn sheep	5	5	4	3	3	76		
Land acquisition of LaBelle property	5	3	1	5	2	68		
Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River	3	5	4	3	4	69		
Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)	5	3	4	3	4	73		
Village of Questa water distribution improvement	5	5	5	4	4	87		
Development of Water Conservation Programs	5	5	5	3	4	82		
Village of Questa WWTP upgrade	5	5	5	3	3	79		
Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining	5	3	5	3	3	73		
Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal	5	3	5	3	4	76		
Public education about beavers and restoration	3	1	5	3	4	60		

Instructions: Enter the value 1 - 5 (where 1 is low and 5 is high) for each evaluation category for each project in the yellow highlighted cells. Include any notes, comments, or questions in the pink highlighted cells

Additional information on the meaning of 1 - 5 for each category is included as comments in this worksheet, which can be seen if your mouse is moved over the category headings that have red triangles in the corner

Project	Costs for operation, maintenance	Need for NRDA funding for success of the project	Potential for success, from engineering and/or biological results from similar projects	Ability to be monitored and measured for success evaluation cost effectively	Cost effectiveness compared to similar project benefits	Total category score	Comments
			+		+		
Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation	3	3	4	5	5	5	78 would provid unique pond habitat in that area
Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements	3	3	5	5	5	5	83 low cost
Potato Patch Spring habitat creation	3	3	4	5	5	3	68
Goathill Pond habitat creation	3	3	3	5	5	3	63
Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation	3	3	4	5	5	3	68
Hunts pond improvements	3	5	1	5	5	3	59
Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area	3	3	5	5	5	3	73
Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout	3	3	4	5	5	3	Difficult to keep cutthroat trout isolated (risk of rainbow reintroduction)
Lower Cabresto water augmentation	1	3	1	5	5	1	37
Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation	3	3	3	5	5	3	63 Risk of contaminated groundwater infiltration
Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River	3	3	4	5	5	3	there may be some funding available for part of this project, possibility of expansion of project with NRDA \$\$
State fish hatchery fish ladder construction	3	3	5	5	5	3	73
Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal	5	3	5	5	5	3	will provide less benefit because whirling disease is widespread in system
Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements	3	3	4	5	5	3	68
Mainstem Red River Embeddedness Treatment/Study	1	3	1	5	5	3	47
Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement	3	3	5	5	5	3	73
McCrystal creek headgate	3	3	5	5	5	3	73
Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier	3	3	4	5	5	3	68
Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek	3	3	4	5	5	3	Difficult to keep cutthroat trout isolated (risk of rainbow reintroduction)
Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek	3	3	5	3	5	3	67
Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station	3	3	5	3	5	3	67
Obliterate road and return to natural contours -- Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek	5	3	5	3	5	3	73
Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed	3	3	4	3	5	3	62
General Road improvements in the watershed	3	3	5	3	5	3	67
Rio Grande box recreational facilities development	1	3	5	3	5	1	51
Cebolla Mesa trail improvement	3	3	5	3	5	1	57
Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site	3	3	5	5	5	3	73
Improve winter range for bighorn sheep	5	3	4	5	5	3	74
Land acquisition of LaBelle property	5	3	5	5	5	3	79
Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River	5	3	5	5	5	5	89 low-cost

Instructions: Enter the value 1 - 5 (where 1 is low and 5 is high) for each evaluation category for each project in the yellow highlighted cells. Include any notes, comments, or questions in the pink highlighted cells

Additional information on the meaning of 1 - 5 for each category is included as comments in this worksheet, which can be seen if your mouse is moved over the category headings that have red triangles in the corner

Project	Costs for operation, maintenance	Need for NRDA funding for success of the project	Potential for success, from engineering and/or biological points of view, based on past results from similar projects	Ability to be monitored and measured for success evaluation cost effectively	Cost effectiveness compared to similar project benefits	Total category score	Comments
			+	+	+		
Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)		3	3	5	5	5	83
Village of Questa water distribution improvement		5	5	5	5	3	85
Development of Water Conservation Programs		5	3	4	3	3	68 municipality would possibly take this over after implementation
Village of Questa WWTP upgrade		5	5	5	3	1	69
Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining		5	5	5	3	1	69
Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal		5	3	5	3	1	63
Public education about beavers and restoration		1	3	3	1	1	35 High likelihood that education program may be insufficient to change ingrained attitudes toward beaver

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Total score	Rank within category	Rank across all aquatic projects
	1	1		1	1	
Habitat improvements to ponds and lakes						
Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation	55	81	78	214	1	1
Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements	52	78	83	213	2	2
Potato Patch Spring habitat creation	52	75	68	195	5	10
Goathill Pond habitat creation	52	75	63	190	6	13
Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation	62	78	68	208	3	4
Hunts pond improvements	36	53	59	148	7	24
Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area	42	81	73	196	4	8
Projects to benefit instream communities						
Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout	56	63	68	187	8	15
Lower Cabresto water augmentation	65	70	37	172	11	21
Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation	52	63	63	178	10	20
Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River	59	81	68	208	2	4
State fish hatchery fish ladder construction	56	71	73	200	3	6
Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal	56	76	79	211	1	3
Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements	59	72	68	199	4	7

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Total score	Rank within category	Rank across all aquatic projects
Mainstem Red River Embeddedness Treatment/Study	56	50	47	153	12	23
Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement	52	63	73	188	7	14
McCrystal creek headgate	52	71	73	196	5	8
Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier	46	69	68	183	9	18
Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek	46	79	68	193	6	11
Projects to benefit surface water quality						
Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek	49	55	67	171	5	22
Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station	59	60	67	186	2	16
Obliterate road and return to natural contours – Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek	49	70	73	192	1	12
Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed	59	65	62	186	2	16
General Road improvements in the watershed	59	55	67	181	4	19
Rio Grande box recreational facilities development	19	70	51	140	7	26
Cebolla Mesa trail improvement	19	65	57	141	6	25
Projects to improve or protect terrestrial or wetland habitat						
Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site	42	86	73	201	4	
Improve winter range for bighorn sheep	59	76	74	209	3	

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Total score	Rank within category	Rank across all aquatic projects
Land acquisition of LaBelle property	39	68	79	186	5	
Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River	62	69	89	220	1	
Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)	62	73	83	218	2	
Projects to compensate for groundwater injury						
Village of Questa water distribution improvement	53	87	85	225	1	
Development of Water Conservation Programs	53	82	68	203	3	
Village of Questa WWTP upgrade	62	79	69	210	2	
Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining	53	73	69	195	4	
Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal	33	76	63	172	5	
Public education about beavers and restoration	33	60	35	128	6	

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Total score
Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation	16	4	7	4
Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements	20	9	3	5
Potato Patch Spring habitat creation	20	14	17	17
Goathill Pond habitat creation	20	14	28	21
Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation	2	9	17	9
Hunts pond improvements	33	36	32	34
Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area	30	4	9	15
Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout	12	29	17	23
Lower Cabresto water augmentation	1	21	36	30
Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation	20	29	28	29
Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River	6	4	17	9
State fish hatchery fish ladder construction	12	19	9	13
Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal	12	11	5	6
Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements	6	18	17	14
Mainstem Red River Embeddedness Treatment/Study	12	37	35	33
Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement	20	29	9	22
McCrystal creek headgate	20	19	9	15
Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier	28	24	17	27
Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek	28	7	17	19
Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek	26	34	25	32

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Total score
Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station	6	32	25	24
Obliterate road and return to natural contours – Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek	26	21	9	20
Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed	6	27	31	24
General Road improvements in the watershed	6	34	25	28
Rio Grande box recreational facilities development	36	21	34	36
Cebolla Mesa trail improvement	36	27	33	35
Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site	30	2	9	12
Improve winter range for bighorn sheep	6	11	8	8
Land acquisition of LaBelle property	32	26	5	24
Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River	2	24	1	2
Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)	2	16	3	3
Village of Questa water distribution improvement	17	1	2	1
Development of Water Conservation Programs	17	3	17	11
Village of Questa WWTP upgrade	2	7	15	7
Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining	17	16	15	17
Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal	34	11	28	30
Public education about beavers and restoration	34	32	37	37

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Additional comments
Upper Cabresto Creek trout enhancement via pond creation Fawn Lakes -- habitat improvements	pond would benefit surface water as well as groundwater because of recharge 0	acceptability to the public would depend on public access. Would there be full, limited, or no access? Access may depend on whether the project is ultimately done for cutthroats. Scalability is limited by topographical limitations of ponds 0	would provide unique pond habitat in that area low cost	project was initially aimed at cutthroat trout but was generalized at the recommendation of George Long, USFS because of state politics regarding piscicides to restore cutthroat
Potato Patch Spring habitat creation Goathill Pond habitat creation	pond would benefit surface water as well as groundwater because of recharge 0	Compare time to reach benefits between Potato Patch, Goathill, and Upper Cabresto? Should they all have the same score? 0	0 0	
Eagle Rock Lake habitat improvement and creation	0	0	0	
Hunts pond improvements	weak nexus because there is no real benefit to fish or injured resources (pond is too small for trout)	provision of benefits difficult to obtain so time to full benefits >10 years	0	
Shuree ponds improvement; Valle Vidal area	0	0	0	
Cabresto fish barrier to benefit cutthroat trout	0	0	Difficult to keep cutthroat trout isolated (risk of rainbow reintroduction)	George indicated that there is a long-term plan to restore the Red River basin to all cutthroat so that fish barriers implemented now would be a temporary solution until the basin is restored.
Lower Cabresto water augmentation	0	potential for some public opposition, depending on particulars. Provision of benefits 3-5 years because of groundwater recharge that will take place before streamflow	0	
Columbine Park Pond Complex habitat creation	0	0	Risk of contaminated groundwater infiltration	Project is only a wetland/terrestrial project (no fisheries) due to groundwater issues.
Red River habitat improvements in the town of Red River	0	0	there may be some funding available for part of this project, possibility of expansion of project with NRDA \$\$	NMED is interested in seeing this project done and USFS has already done EA. George to follow-up
State fish hatchery fish ladder construction	0	0	0	state fish experts say that this might not currently be a complete barrier and that some age classes might be able to get over the dam - George to follow up
Questa Ranger Station fish barrier removal	0	0	will provide less benefit because whirling disease is widespread in system	might not be feasible because it currently restricts the spread of whirling disease George/Ben to follow up
Village of Questa Red River habitat improvements Mainstem Red River Embeddedness Treatment/Study	0 0	limited public access. Chance of high project longevity is high due to the town of RR's vested interest in maintaining environmental and recreational projects for tourism purposes. 0	0 0	

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Additional comments
Rio Costilla aquatic enhancement	0	for Valle Vidal projects: public support dampened by location outside of watershed? Or enough public use from Questa and RR to garner support?	0	
McCrystal creek headgate	0	0	0	Need to find out exactly what aim of this project is -- Ben indicated that project would keep water in the stream that was being unnecessarily diverted but George sees issue that not enough water is able to be diverted to irrigation channel that feeds terrestrial meadow.
Comanche Creek cutthroat migration barrier	0	0	0	
Restore Rio Grande cutthroat trout in Comanche Creek	0	0	Difficult to keep cutthroat trout isolated (risk of rainbow reintroduction)	
Stream Crossing Improvements -- Comanche Creek and North Ponil Creek	0	0	0	
Forest Service Road Reconstruction near ranger station	0	0	0	
Obliterate road and return to natural contours -- Chuck Wagon Creek and Gold Creek	0	0	0	
Mitigation of off-road vehicle impacts to the watershed	0	George says this is high-profile issue -- public wants more control over ATVs	0	
General Road improvements in the watershed	0	0	0	
Rio Grande box recreational facilities development	0	0	0	
Cebolla Mesa trail improvement	0	0	0	
Sunshine Valley/Anderson ranch wetland site	strong nexus to wildlife resources that would use injured terrestrial and aquatic habitat	public acceptance rating based on assumed potential for conservation easement or public ownership and some degree of public access	0	
Improve winter range for bighorn sheep	strong nexus to wildlife resources that would use injured terrestrial	George says public is interested and excited about big horn sheep populations. Benefits from burns last about 10-20 years then need to be repeated to avoid conifer encroachment	0	
Land acquisition of LaBelle property	question of whether LaBelle would really provide any net environmental benefits	0	0	
Alluvial fan habitat enhancement along the Red River	adjacent to aquatic so would benefit both terrestrial and aquatic	0	low-cost	
Fawn Lakes riparian improvement (revegetation)	0	0	0	
Village of Questa water distribution improvement	0	0	0	
Development of Water Conservation Programs	0	0	municipality would possibly take this over after implementation	

Project	Relevance to NRDA	Degree of benefit	Feasibility and cost	Additional comments
Village of Questa WWTP upgrade	0	0	0	Need to follow-up on exactly what things can/need to be done here
Red River WWTP sludge-drying basin lining	0	0	0	
Septic system concerns in Lama or San Cristobal	0	0	0	
Public education about beavers and restoration	0	0	High likelihood that education program may be insufficient to change ingrained attitudes toward beaver	